

Office of the  
Legislative Fiscal Analyst

## **FY 2003 Budget Recommendations**

Joint Appropriations Subcommittee for  
Natural Resources

Utah Department of Agriculture and Food  
**Summary**

Contents:

- 1.0 Summary
- 4.0 Additional Information

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

**1.0 Summary: Department of Agriculture and Food**

The Department of Agriculture and Food is responsible for the administration of Utah's agricultural laws as outlined in Titles 3 and 4 of the Utah Code. The laws mandate a wide variety of activities including inspection, regulation, information, rulemaking, loan issuance, marketing and development, pest and disease control, improving the economic position of agriculture, and consumer protection.

Several high profile issues have challenged the Department throughout the last year. The worldwide spread of Foot and Mouth Disease (FMD) led the department--in cooperation with other state and federal agencies--to intensify its efforts to keep FMD out of Utah. The infestation of grasshoppers and crickets last summer is considered the worst in sixty years. Over 1.5 million acres were infested (compared to 23,000 acres in 1997), causing about \$25 million in damage to crops. Surveys of egg deposits show next year's infestation could cover as many as 3.3 million acres. It is hoped the federal government will appropriate money to combat the insects when they hatch on federal lands.

In addition to unrestricted General Funds, the Legislature appropriates from four restricted general fund accounts for the Department. These include:

- GFR – Livestock Brand and Anti-Theft Account
- GFR – Tuberculosis and Bangs Disease Control Account (minimal)
- GFR – Agriculture and Wildlife Damage Prevention Account
- GFR – Horse Racing Account

**Recommendation**

The Analyst recommends a total Agriculture budget of **\$15,390,500**. This includes General Funds of **\$9,786,400**. The General Fund number is derived as follows:

FY 2002 ongoing appropriation	\$10,000,600
Ongoing reductions made in FY 2002 Supplemental	(339,500)
MCA/Retirement rate changes	61,500
Internal Service Fund adjustments	58,600
Extra Day adjustment	22,800
<b>Adjusted Base:</b>	<b><u>\$9,804,000</u></b>
Transfer of revenues from CIO for 800 MHz radios	2,400
New FY 2003 budget reductions	(\$20,000)
<b>Total GF Allocation/Analyst Recommendation:</b>	<b><u>\$9,786,400</u></b>

	Analyst FY 2003 Base	Analyst FY 2003 Changes	Analyst FY 2003 Total
<b>Financing</b>			
General Fund	9,804,000	(17,600)	9,786,400
Federal Funds	2,061,600		2,061,600
Dedicated Credits Revenue	932,600		932,600
GFR - Horse Racing	50,000		50,000
GFR - Livestock Brand	689,100		689,100
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	522,700		522,700
Agri Resource Development	531,200		531,200
Utah Rural Rehab Loan	18,000		18,000
Transfers	802,600		802,600
Closing Nonlapsing	(3,700)		(3,700)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$15,408,100</b>	<b>(\$17,600)</b>	<b>\$15,390,500</b>
<b>Programs</b>			
Administration	12,060,700	(7,600)	12,053,100
Building Operations	264,000		264,000
Predatory Animal Control	1,366,500	(10,000)	1,356,500
Resource Conservation	1,402,800		1,402,800
Loans	314,100		314,100
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$15,408,100</b>	<b>(\$17,600)</b>	<b>\$15,390,500</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>			
Total FTE	208		208

	1999 Actual	2000 Actual	2001 Actual	2002 Estimated	2003 Analyst
<b>Financing</b>					
General Fund	8,938,800	9,257,100	9,571,300	10,000,600	9,786,400
General Fund, One-time		130,000	315,000	199,600	
Federal Funds	1,734,400	1,812,000	1,893,700	2,053,800	2,061,600
Dedicated Credits Revenue	710,900	704,900	812,400	929,800	932,600
GFR - Horse Racing	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,100	50,000
GFR - Livestock Brand	632,000	681,200	737,500	681,900	689,100
GFR - TB & Bangs Control	10,000	6,800	10,000	6,800	
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	478,000	493,200	506,200	518,000	522,700
Agri Resource Development	530,500	435,800	531,200	531,200	531,200
Utah Rural Rehab Loan	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
Transfers	673,700	1,008,400	979,500	866,300	802,600
Transfers - Fed Pass-thru	31,100				
Beginning Nonlapsing	1,382,600	1,552,000	1,577,900	1,808,800	
Closing Nonlapsing	(1,551,800)	(1,577,800)	(1,808,800)		(3,700)
Lapsing Balance	(379,800)	(259,400)	(386,700)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$13,258,400</b>	<b>\$14,312,200</b>	<b>\$14,807,200</b>	<b>\$17,664,900</b>	<b>\$15,390,500</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
Administration	10,933,700	11,669,100	11,815,600	13,792,300	12,053,100
Building Operations	228,000	228,000	228,000	243,800	264,000
Predatory Animal Control	852,600	937,200	1,162,500	1,555,100	1,356,500
Resource Conservation	995,500	1,258,500	1,358,700	1,759,600	1,402,800
Loans	248,600	219,400	242,400	314,100	314,100
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$13,258,400</b>	<b>\$14,312,200</b>	<b>\$14,807,200</b>	<b>\$17,664,900</b>	<b>\$15,390,500</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Personal Services	8,489,200	8,601,800	9,249,800	10,094,100	9,979,200
In-State Travel	241,500	240,300	247,000	256,800	236,300
Out of State Travel	83,900	85,600	86,800	103,700	102,600
Current Expense	1,728,600	1,924,000	1,919,600	2,135,400	1,548,900
D P Current Expense	433,000	475,700	472,800	573,700	302,800
D P Capital Outlay	5,500	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
Capital Outlay	176,300	277,900	16,300	364,200	10,000
Other Charges/Pass Thru	2,100,400	2,704,200	2,812,200	4,134,300	3,208,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$13,258,400</b>	<b>\$14,312,200</b>	<b>\$14,807,200</b>	<b>\$17,664,900</b>	<b>\$15,390,500</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>					
Total FTE	202	193	203	208	208

## 4.0 Additional Information: Department of Agriculture and Food

### 4.1 Funding History

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>
General Fund	8,938,800	9,257,100	9,571,300	10,000,600	9,786,400
General Fund, One-time		130,000	315,000	199,600	
Federal Funds	1,734,400	1,812,000	1,893,700	2,053,800	2,061,600
Dedicated Credits Revenue	710,900	704,900	812,400	929,800	932,600
GFR - Horse Racing	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,100	50,000
GFR - Livestock Brand	632,000	681,200	737,500	681,900	689,100
GFR - TB & Bangs Control	10,000	6,800	10,000	6,800	
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	478,000	493,200	506,200	518,000	522,700
Agri Resource Development	530,500	435,800	531,200	531,200	531,200
Utah Rural Rehab Loan	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
Transfers	673,700	1,008,400	979,500	866,300	802,600
Transfers - Fed Pass-thru	31,100				
Beginning Nonlapsing	1,382,600	1,552,000	1,577,900	1,808,800	
Closing Nonlapsing	(1,551,800)	(1,577,800)	(1,808,800)		(3,700)
Lapsing Balance	(379,800)	(259,400)	(386,700)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$13,258,400</b>	<b>\$14,312,200</b>	<b>\$14,807,200</b>	<b>\$17,664,900</b>	<b>\$15,390,500</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
Administration	10,933,700	11,669,100	11,815,600	13,792,300	12,053,100
Building Operations	228,000	228,000	228,000	243,800	264,000
Predatory Animal Control	852,600	937,200	1,162,500	1,555,100	1,356,500
Resource Conservation	995,500	1,258,500	1,358,700	1,759,600	1,402,800
Loans	248,600	219,400	242,400	314,100	314,100
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$13,258,400</b>	<b>\$14,312,200</b>	<b>\$14,807,200</b>	<b>\$17,664,900</b>	<b>\$15,390,500</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Personal Services	8,489,200	8,601,800	9,249,800	10,094,100	9,979,200
In-State Travel	241,500	240,300	247,000	256,800	236,300
Out of State Travel	83,900	85,600	86,800	103,700	102,600
Current Expense	1,728,600	1,924,000	1,919,600	2,135,400	1,548,900
DP Current Expense	433,000	475,700	472,800	573,700	302,800
DP Capital Outlay	5,500	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
Capital Outlay	176,300	277,900	16,300	364,200	10,000
Other Charges/Pass Thru	2,100,400	2,704,200	2,812,200	4,134,300	3,208,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$13,258,400</b>	<b>\$14,312,200</b>	<b>\$14,807,200</b>	<b>\$17,664,900</b>	<b>\$15,390,500</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>					
Total FTE	202	193	203	208	208

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

Office of the  
Legislative Fiscal Analyst

## **FY 2003 Budget Recommendations**

Joint Appropriations Subcommittee for  
Natural Resources

Utah Department of Agriculture and Food  
**General Administration**

### Contents:

- 1.0 Summary
- 2.0 Issues
- 3.0 Programs
- 4.0 Additional Information

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**



## 1.0 Summary: General Administration

In the 2001 General Session, the Legislature authorized a restructuring of the department's line items. The General Administration line item now holds most of the department's programs. Detail on each program can be found in Section 3.0.

	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Base</b>	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Changes</b>	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Total</b>
<b>Financing</b>			
General Fund	7,724,700	(7,600)	7,717,100
Federal Funds	2,061,600		2,061,600
Dedicated Credits Revenue	932,600		932,600
GFR - Horse Racing	50,000		50,000
GFR - Livestock Brand	689,100		689,100
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	66,500		66,500
Transfers	536,200		536,200
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$12,060,700</b>	<b>(\$7,600)</b>	<b>\$12,053,100</b>
<b>Programs</b>			
General Administration	1,242,100		1,242,100
Meat Inspection	1,606,600		1,606,600
Chemistry Laboratory	709,200		709,200
Animal Health	768,100		768,100
Agriculture Inspection	1,631,000		1,631,000
Regulatory Services	2,113,800		2,113,800
Public Affairs	83,300		83,300
Sheep Promotion	50,000		50,000
Auction Market Veterinarians	72,000		72,000
Brand Inspection	1,098,500	2,400	1,100,900
Utah Horse Commission	50,000		50,000
Environmental Quality	1,500,900		1,500,900
Grain Inspection	429,900		429,900
Insect Inspection	217,600		217,600
Marketing and Development	437,900		437,900
Research	49,800	(10,000)	39,800
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$12,060,700</b>	<b>(\$7,600)</b>	<b>\$12,053,100</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>			
Total FTE	184		184

## 2.0 Issues: General Administration

### 2.1 Ongoing General Fund Reductions

In the FY 2002 supplemental budget appropriation, the Legislature identified \$298,300 in ongoing General Fund reductions. These reductions carry forward into FY 2003:

Research Program	(\$121,200)
Conservation Easements	(\$10,000)
Current Expenses	(\$62,500)
Across-the-Board Cuts	(\$36,800)
Chemist IV Turnover Savings	(\$30,000)
Deputy Commissioner Turnover Savings	(\$37,800)

**General Fund.....(\$298,300)**

### 2.2 800 MHz Radio Maintenance Transfer-In

The Division of Administrative Services has transferred \$2,400 in General Funds to the Department of Agriculture and Food to pay for 800 MHz connection costs. See item 3.10.

**General Fund.....\$2,400**

### 2.3 Budget Reduction: Research Program

The ongoing appropriation of \$171,000 for the Agricultural Research Program was reduced by \$121,200 during the FY 2002 supplemental appropriation process. The Analyst recommends reducing another \$10,000, which would leave \$39,800 for the department's most critical research needs.

**General Fund.....(\$10,000)**

### 3.0 Programs: General Administration

#### 3.1 Administrative Services

##### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends **\$1,242,100** for this program, funded almost entirely from the General Fund. Other funds are used to pay their share of overhead costs for services rendered by this program. The Analyst's recommendation does not include any other personal services adjustments over FY 2002.

	2001 Actual	2002 Estimated	2003 Analyst	Est/Analyst Difference
<b>Financing</b>				
General Fund	1,181,700	1,258,600	1,201,100	(57,500)
General Fund, One-time	100,000	47,400		(47,400)
Federal Funds	158,900	3,100	3,100	
Dedicated Credits Revenue	11,800	10,000	10,000	
GFR - Livestock Brand	5,600	11,400	11,400	
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	13,500	16,500	16,500	
Transfers	3,100	12,200		(12,200)
Beginning Nonlapsing	268,400	381,700		(381,700)
Closing Nonlapsing	(381,700)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,361,300</b>	<b>\$1,740,900</b>	<b>\$1,242,100</b>	<b>(\$498,800)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	845,400	991,400	999,100	7,700
In-State Travel	6,700	5,500	5,500	
Out of State Travel	9,000	8,200	8,200	
Current Expense	153,900	93,800	47,700	(46,100)
DP Current Expense	171,800	104,700	71,600	(33,100)
Capital Outlay		128,700		(128,700)
Other Charges/Pass Thru	174,500	408,600	110,000	(298,600)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,361,300</b>	<b>\$1,740,900</b>	<b>\$1,242,100</b>	<b>(\$498,800)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	16	19	19	

##### Purpose

The Administrative Services program provides financial support for the 21 organizational programs, the internal service fund, and two loan funds. This program performs fiscal transactions for the department's 200-plus FTEs, 10,000 licenses, and 30,000 brands and earmarks. Other services include human resource management, information technology, establishment of policies and procedures, administration of federal agreements and grants, and other administrative tasks.

**Intent  
Language**

Included in this appropriation is \$90,000 in ongoing General Funds (previously \$100,000) for departmental purchases or grants to non-state agencies to purchase conservation easements (1998 General Session). The Analyst recommends **continuing** the following intent language:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the appropriation for grants to charitable organizations specified under UCA 57-18-3, or held by the Department of Agriculture and Food, be used for purchase of conservation easements for agricultural protection and be nonlapsing.*

**Previous Building  
Block Report**

In the 2001 session the Legislature approved increases for:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>How Used</u>
Info. Tech. FTE	\$50,000	Employee has been hired
Ag in the Classroom	\$69,700	Reduced by \$1,400, passed through
Cedar Mountain	\$50,000	Reduced by \$2,000, passed through
High School Rodeo	\$20,000	Reduced by \$800, passed through

### 3.2 Meat Inspection

#### Recommendation

The Analyst's recommendation of \$1,606,600 represents a 51 percent federal / 49 percent state funding split. This program has typically been funded at approximately a 50 percent federal/50 percent state split. The reason for the higher federal portion is that the federal government is paying 100 percent of the costs of one inspector doing USDA grading. Personal Services comprise 87 percent of the recommended funding.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	716,000	771,600	778,500	6,900
General Fund, One-time		(1,700)		1,700
Federal Funds	814,500	821,700	828,100	6,400
Transfers		2,100		(2,100)
Beginning Nonlapsing	45,100	1,000		(1,000)
Closing Nonlapsing	(1,000)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,574,600</b>	<b>\$1,594,700</b>	<b>\$1,606,600</b>	<b>\$11,900</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	1,371,600	1,362,600	1,395,700	33,100
In-State Travel	9,100	20,000	20,000	
Out of State Travel	6,400	13,800	13,800	
Current Expense	141,500	177,500	152,500	(25,000)
DP Current Expense	46,000	20,800	24,600	3,800
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,574,600</b>	<b>\$1,594,700</b>	<b>\$1,606,600</b>	<b>\$11,900</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	28	28	28	

#### Purpose

The Department is required by the Utah Meat and Poultry Products Inspection and Licensing Act (UCA 4-32) to provide inspection programs at least equal to those proscribed by the federal government. This program has the responsibility of ensuring the Utah consumer receives only clean, wholesome, properly marked and labeled meat and poultry products. This is done through continuous inspection of packing and processing establishments under state jurisdiction. All animals presented for slaughter receive antemortem and postmortem inspections. All meat and poultry in state regulated plants are processed in facilities that are inspected for cleanliness and sanitation. All meat and poultry products are routinely tested on a continual basis by trained inspectors. A veterinarian is required to supervise the meat inspector's activities in the slaughter plants at least two hours each month.

**Previous Building  
Block Report**

In the 2001 session the Legislature approved increases for:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>How Used</u>
Meat Inspector FTE	\$19,100	Employee has been hired
Field Automation & Info Mgt.	\$18,600	Equipment being put in place

### 3.3 Chemistry Laboratory

#### Recommendation

Total funding is recommended at **\$709,200**. This program receives the major portion of its funding from the General Fund. Some funding is supplied by the federal government to pay for half of the salaries of two chemists. The federal funds pay for monitoring of pesticides in groundwater and inspection of meat samples.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	669,900	686,400	653,300	(33,100)
General Fund, One-time		(37,800)		37,800
Federal Funds	87,200	55,800	55,900	100
Dedicated Credits Revenue	800			
Transfers		2,800		(2,800)
Beginning Nonlapsing	26,300	76,300		(76,300)
Closing Nonlapsing	(76,300)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$707,900</b>	<b>\$783,500</b>	<b>\$709,200</b>	<b>(\$74,300)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	584,600	647,400	653,300	5,900
In-State Travel	200	1,000	1,000	
Out of State Travel	7,400	5,600	5,600	
Current Expense	100,500	51,600	33,300	(18,300)
DP Current Expense	15,200	61,600	16,000	(45,600)
Capital Outlay		16,300		(16,300)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$707,900</b>	<b>\$783,500</b>	<b>\$709,200</b>	<b>(\$74,300)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	11	12	12	

#### Purpose

The Chemistry Laboratory provides analytical support and services for the various divisions of the Department. Analysis may be performed for other agencies as long as it does not interfere with work required by the Department. In all cases, the purpose of the work is to ensure that products comply with label guarantees, to ensure that products are free of pathogens and toxins, and to protect the consumer, farmer, and industry. Some of the products tested are feed, fertilizer, pesticides, meat and meat products, filling material in bedding and garments, dairy products, food, filth and foreign material, groundwater, and other items as needed.

### 3.4 Animal Health

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a total budget of **\$768,100**. Dedicated Credits come from the sale of health certificates, books, and Coggins testing (for Equine Infectious Anemia). The restricted Tuberculosis and Bangs account has no ongoing source of revenue, a FY 2001 closing balance of about \$7,000, and would be recommended for closure in a funds consolidation process. Per Diem and other costs for the seven-member Livestock Market Committee (UCA 4-30-2) are included in the Current Expense line.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	657,300	737,400	730,000	(7,400)
General Fund, One-time	50,000	29,900		(29,900)
Federal Funds	30,400	13,700	13,900	200
Dedicated Credits Revenue	19,400	24,200	24,200	
GFR - TB & Bangs Control	10,000	6,800		(6,800)
Transfers	3,900	1,000		(1,000)
Beginning Nonlapsing	15,600	44,400		(44,400)
Closing Nonlapsing	(44,400)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$742,200</b>	<b>\$857,400</b>	<b>\$768,100</b>	<b>(\$89,300)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	518,100	514,500	501,000	(13,500)
In-State Travel	5,700	5,000	5,000	
Out of State Travel	6,900	9,000	9,000	
Current Expense	67,000	112,500	54,400	(58,100)
DP Current Expense	29,700	35,300	16,000	(19,300)
Other Charges/Pass Thru	114,800	181,100	182,700	1,600
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$742,200</b>	<b>\$857,400</b>	<b>\$768,100</b>	<b>(\$89,300)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	8	8	8	

#### Purpose

The aim of the program is to maintain the disease free status and promote the marketability of Utah animals. This protects the public from disease of animal origin (zoonosis) in addition to benefiting the animal and the livestock industry. The program administers various state and federal cooperative disease control programs. It monitors animal imports to the state, reviews all Certificates of Veterinary Inspection, contracts with local veterinarians for vaccinations, and inspects aquaculture facilities, slaughter plants, brine shrimp plants, dog food plants, etc. A staff of veterinarians carries out most of the work. Utah has been tuberculosis free since 1957 and brucellosis free since 1981.



**Previous Building  
Block Report**

2001 session the Legislature approved increases for:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>How Used</u>
Veterinary Diagnostic Lab	\$68,000	Used to pay bill to USU
Johne's Disease (HB 172)	\$40,000	Reduced by \$1,600; setting up program

### 3.5 Agricultural Inspection

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a total budget of **\$1,631,000**. The major funding source continues to be the General Fund. Dedicated credits come from fees charged on parties desiring state approval for registration, testing, applying, or distributing agricultural chemicals.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	1,057,500	1,102,700	1,095,200	(7,500)
General Fund, One-time	165,000	(53,800)		53,800
Federal Funds	312,100	382,900	383,400	500
Dedicated Credits Revenue	131,800	152,200	152,400	200
Transfers		7,100		(7,100)
Beginning Nonlapsing	207,200	360,600		(360,600)
Closing Nonlapsing	(360,600)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,513,000</b>	<b>\$1,951,700</b>	<b>\$1,631,000</b>	<b>(\$320,700)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	1,156,100	1,410,900	1,372,100	(38,800)
In-State Travel	21,400	19,300	19,300	
Out of State Travel	14,600	9,800	9,800	
Current Expense	235,100	185,500	173,900	(11,600)
DP Current Expense	65,400	88,500	38,600	(49,900)
Capital Outlay		76,100		(76,100)
Other Charges/Pass Thru	20,400	161,600	17,300	(144,300)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,513,000</b>	<b>\$1,951,700</b>	<b>\$1,631,000</b>	<b>(\$320,700)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	24	27	27	

#### Purpose

This program performs a wide scope of inspection, regulatory and enforcement activities, including: Pesticide product registration (UCA 4-13); Fertilizer product registration and sampling (4-14); Nursery licensing and inspection (4-15); Inspection and grading of fresh fruits and vegetables; USDA Restricted Use pesticide record auditing; Utah Noxious Weed Act enforcement (4-16); Animal feed product registration and sampling (4-12); and Seed inspection and sampling (4-16). Fourteen district field representatives perform inspections and regulatory functions throughout the state. Seasonal personnel are employed as needed. Office personnel are utilized to handle the registrations for pesticide, fertilizer, and feed.

The pesticide program includes applicator certification, pesticide enforcement, worker protection standards, endangered species protection, and groundwater protection.

**Intent  
Language**

The Budgetary Procedures Act (UCA 63-38-9(5)(d)) allows an agency to keep its excess (unspent) dedicated credits only if the Legislature has designated the entire program as nonlapsing. Therefore, the Analyst recommends **replacing** several pieces of previously approved intent language (see below) with the following paragraph:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the appropriation to the Agricultural Inspection Program be nonlapsing.*

If the Legislature approves the above intent language, the Analyst recommends **discontinuing** the following paragraphs from H.B. 1, 2001 General Session:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that any unexpended funds from the appropriation for pesticide disposal amnesty be nonlapsing.*

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the proceeds from fertilizer assessments authorized in UCA 4-13-3 be held as nonlapsing dedicated credits.*

*It is the intent of the Legislature that license fees collected from pesticide applicators for educational and testing materials be nonlapsing.*

*It is the intent of the Legislature that funds for the Pesticide Control program be nonlapsing.*

*It is the intent of the Legislature that funds collected in the Organic Certification Program be nonlapsing.*

The Analyst recommends **continuing** the following items of intent language from H.B. 1, 2001 General Session:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the Utah Department of Agriculture and Food use its rulemaking authority granted in UCA 4-16-3 to make rules concerning seed container labeling requirements, after consultation with the seed industry, the Utah Seed Council, and the Utah Crop Improvement Association.*

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the FY 2001 one-time General Fund appropriation of \$90,000 for private grazing land improvements be nonlapsing.*

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the FY 2001 one-time General Fund appropriation of \$75,000 for biological control of weeds be nonlapsing.*

### 3.6 Regulatory Services

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a total budget of **\$2,113,800**. Personal Services comprise **85** percent of the recommended appropriation. Federal dollars are used for the poultry-grading program. Dedicated Credits come from fees charged for inspections of any operation where food or dairy products are handled, and are used to offset the costs of inspections.

	<b>2001</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>Est/Analyst</b>
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	1,705,400	1,750,500	1,759,400	8,900
General Fund, One-time		(44,600)		44,600
Federal Funds	92,500	109,700	110,300	600
Dedicated Credits Revenue	334,500	242,700	244,100	1,400
Transfers		17,000		(17,000)
Beginning Nonlapsing	157,500	272,900		(272,900)
Closing Nonlapsing	(272,900)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,017,000</b>	<b>\$2,348,200</b>	<b>\$2,113,800</b>	<b>(\$234,400)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	1,633,000	1,767,500	1,806,100	38,600
In-State Travel	22,700	20,100	20,100	
Out of State Travel	10,200	15,900	15,900	
Current Expense	198,300	234,000	163,500	(70,500)
DP Current Expense	64,900	137,600	58,200	(79,400)
Capital Outlay		133,100	10,000	(123,100)
Other Charges/Pass Thru	87,900	40,000	40,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,017,000</b>	<b>\$2,348,200</b>	<b>\$2,113,800</b>	<b>(\$234,400)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	37	39	39	

#### Purpose

This program can be broken down into six areas: food compliance, dairy compliance, retail meat compliance, egg and poultry grading, product labeling, and weights and measures. The program's prime responsibility is to ensure that Utah consumers receive a safe, wholesome, and properly labeled supply of food, fiber and other agricultural products. Thirteen compliance officers inspect 2,700 food establishments, 410 dairy farms, 110 milk haulers, and 40 dairy processing plants. One FTE is assigned to administer the laws associated with upholstered furniture and quilted clothing. The weights and measures area conducts inspections to ensure the accuracy of all weighing and measuring devices used in commerce. The department hearing officer is also assigned to this program and conducts all administrative hearings.

### 3.7 Public Affairs

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends an appropriation of **\$83,300**, entirely from the General Fund. Aside from Personal Services (63 percent of the appropriation), the single largest cost in this program is printing/binding.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	81,700	83,000	83,300	300
General Fund, One-time		(1,300)		1,300
Beginning Nonlapsing	3,900	8,000		(8,000)
Closing Nonlapsing	(8,000)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$77,600</b>	<b>\$89,700</b>	<b>\$83,300</b>	<b>(\$6,400)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	62,700	62,300	62,700	400
In-State Travel	100	1,400	1,400	
Out of State Travel	700	1,500	2,000	500
Current Expense	5,800	14,600	14,000	(600)
DP Current Expense	8,300	9,900	3,200	(6,700)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$77,600</b>	<b>\$89,700</b>	<b>\$83,300</b>	<b>(\$6,400)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	1	1	1	

#### Purpose

The Public Information Officer (PIO) provides information regarding the regulatory duties, food safety inspections, and marketing services offered by the department. The PIO is responsible for informing agricultural producers of changes in laws that affect them. The PIO is also responsible for informing the general public about actions the department takes to protect the food supply. The office uses all methods of communication, including the press, advertising space, newsletters, conferences and seminars, and the Internet.

### 3.8 Sheep Promotion

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a budget of **\$50,000** funded entirely from the General Fund Restricted - Agricultural and Wildlife Damage Prevention Account. The Department will be authorized to spend up to the appropriated amount, but will be limited to the actual amount collected. In FY 2001 the actual amount was **\$28,600**. There are no FTEs in the program.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	50,000	50,000	50,000	
Lapsing Balance	(21,400)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$28,600</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Other Charges/Pass Thru	28,600	50,000	50,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$28,600</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				

#### Purpose

The Department, by law, contracts with the Utah Woolgrowers Association to conduct promotional and educational programs. Adult and youth "Make it With Wool" contests are held throughout the state to promote the use of wool as a clothing product. Statistical data and market information are presented to all wool growers comparing market price of lambs in Utah with other areas of the country so that the best market decisions might be made. Department representatives meet with woolgrowers at regular meetings to help stimulate and strengthen sheep and wool producer programs by discussing problems facing the industry and the alternatives necessary to solve them.

### 3.9 Auction Market Veterinarians

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a total budget of **\$72,000** funded entirely from Dedicated Credits. These funds are used to pay for the services of veterinarians. There are no FTEs in the program.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
Dedicated Credits Revenue	71,200	72,000	72,000	
Beginning Nonlapsing	700	500		(500)
Closing Nonlapsing	(500)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$71,400</b>	<b>\$72,500</b>	<b>\$72,000</b>	<b>(\$500)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Current Expense	71,400	72,500	72,000	(500)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$71,400</b>	<b>\$72,500</b>	<b>\$72,000</b>	<b>(\$500)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				

#### Purpose

There are ten auction markets held throughout the state. The markets include the following: Smithfield, Weber, Ogden, Roosevelt, Spanish Fork, Utah Livestock Auction, Delta, Cedar City, Richfield, and Salina. A veterinarian inspects all animals that pass through the market. The veterinarian receives \$170 from the Department of Agriculture and Food for performing this service. The auction pays this fee to the Department. In addition, the veterinarian is paid directly by the livestock producers for blood tests, pregnancy tests, and Bangs vaccinations.

#### Intent Language

The Analyst recommends **maintaining** the following intent language from H.B. 1, 2001 General Session:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the appropriation to the Auction Market Veterinarian program be nonlapsing.*

### 3.10 Brand Inspection

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends this program's funding level at **\$1,100,900**, from two sources: the General Fund and the General Fund Restricted - Utah Livestock Brand and Anti-Theft Fund. Personal Services comprise **79** percent of the recommended appropriation.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
	Actual	Estimated	Analyst	Difference
<b>Financing</b>				
General Fund	397,700	411,800	423,200	11,400
General Fund, One-time		(5,500)		5,500
GFR - Livestock Brand	731,900	670,500	677,700	7,200
Transfers		8,200		(8,200)
Beginning Nonlapsing	10,700	29,200		(29,200)
Closing Nonlapsing	(29,200)			
Lapsing Balance	(54,200)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,056,900</b>	<b>\$1,114,200</b>	<b>\$1,100,900</b>	<b>(\$13,300)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	818,500	847,400	870,300	22,900
In-State Travel	37,200	46,700	46,700	
Out of State Travel	4,400	5,100	5,100	
Current Expense	181,600	184,200	162,800	(21,400)
DP Current Expense	12,500	30,800	16,000	(14,800)
Capital Outlay	2,700			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,056,900</b>	<b>\$1,114,200</b>	<b>\$1,100,900</b>	<b>(\$13,300)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	23	23	23	

#### Fund Transfer for 800 MHz Costs

The Division of Administrative Services has transferred \$2,400 in General Funds to the Department of Agriculture and Food to pay for 800 MHz connection costs.

**General Fund.....\$2,400**

#### Purpose

The Brand Inspection program was established to keep the loss of livestock through theft and stray to a minimum. This is accomplished through enforcement of the brand and stray laws (UCA 4-24 and 4-25) by field and auction inspectors who check all cattle and horses prior to sale, slaughter, or movement across state lines. The program also maintains a brand recording system so that ownership of animals can be readily determined through a master brand identification book.



In 1997 the Legislature gave this program responsibility of monitoring and regulating elk farming, and in 1999, private elk hunting. Livestock inspectors ensure animal identification, theft protection, genetic purity, and disease control. Domestic elk are now included in the department's definition of livestock.

### 3.11 Utah Horse Commission

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends an appropriation of **\$50,000** from the General Fund Restricted - Horse Racing Account. This is a decrease of **\$100** from the FY 2002 appropriation, but will not affect the program's services, as usually only about half the appropriation is needed. Revenues come to the restricted account from license fees paid by participants in racing and other racetrack activities. The account is dedicated to financing mandated regulatory responsibilities.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
GFR - Horse Racing	50,000	50,100	50,000	(100)
Lapsing Balance	(25,600)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$24,400</b>	<b>\$50,100</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>	<b>(\$100)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	800	1,300	1,200	(100)
In-State Travel	1,700	2,200	2,200	
Current Expense	1,800	1,100	1,100	
Other Charges/Pass Thru	20,100	45,500	45,500	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$24,400</b>	<b>\$50,100</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>	<b>(\$100)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				

#### Purpose

The five-member Utah Horse Racing Commission was created under the Utah Horse Regulation Act (UCA 4-38). The commission provides a regulatory structure, administers rules and regulations, issues licenses, collects license fees, sanctions tracks and pays for approved expenses such as:

- Stewards (Commission may delegate three Stewards at each race meet to enforce rules);
- Veterinarians;
- Blood and urine testing;
- Assistance with insurance and other items mandated by the Act.

### 3.12 Agricultural Environmental Quality

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a total budget of **\$1,500,900**. The revenue transfer comes from the Division of Water Quality in the Department of Environmental Quality. Federal funds are granted for salinity studies.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	299,200	311,400	310,600	(800)
General Fund, One-time		(9,800)		9,800
Federal Funds	286,000	654,100	654,100	
Transfers	707,200	536,100	536,200	100
Beginning Nonlapsing	8,500	40,000		(40,000)
Closing Nonlapsing	(40,000)			
Lapsing Balance	(100)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,260,800</b>	<b>\$1,531,800</b>	<b>\$1,500,900</b>	<b>(\$30,900)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	371,800	396,600	400,400	3,800
In-State Travel	9,400	9,300	9,300	
Out of State Travel	6,200	8,100	8,100	
Current Expense	42,800	60,100	44,700	(15,400)
DP Current Expense	21,300	27,400	19,400	(8,000)
Capital Outlay		10,000		(10,000)
Other Charges/Pass Thru	809,300	1,020,300	1,019,000	(1,300)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,260,800</b>	<b>\$1,531,800</b>	<b>\$1,500,900</b>	<b>(\$30,900)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	7	7	7	

#### Purpose

This program administers the agricultural portion of Utah's nonpoint source water pollution control and prevention program, which is partially funded through a federal grant from the EPA. The program provides incentive funding assistance to farmers and ranchers to voluntarily implement structural and management practices which help prevent animal waste and soil sediment from entering the state's water in priority watersheds. Funds are also used to in conjunction with private and other government resources. This program is divided into three areas: Watershed Management, Groundwater Management, and Information and Education. The Clean Water Act has recently identified Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO) as an EPA priority focus.

### 3.13 Grain Inspection

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a budget of **\$429,900** funded from Dedicated Credits. However, it is not likely that the full appropriation will be collected (see FY 2001 actual). The **\$12,000** pass-through in this program goes to the Federal Grain Inspection Service.

	2001 Actual	2002 Estimated	2003 Analyst	Est/Analyst Difference
<b>Financing</b>				
General Fund	9,000			
Dedicated Credits Revenue	208,800	428,700	429,900	1,200
Beginning Nonlapsing	32,700	300		(300)
Closing Nonlapsing	(300)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$250,200</b>	<b>\$429,000</b>	<b>\$429,900</b>	<b>\$900</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	216,600	379,300	373,800	(5,500)
In-State Travel		200	200	
Out of State Travel	300	2,100	2,100	
Current Expense	25,300	35,400	41,800	6,400
Other Charges/Pass Thru	8,000	12,000	12,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$250,200</b>	<b>\$429,000</b>	<b>\$429,900</b>	<b>\$900</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	6	10	10	

#### Purpose

Grain inspection services are provided under authority of UCA 4-2 and under the authority of the Federal Grain Inspection Service. All grain common to Utah may be officially inspected and graded to U.S. standards. These services are provided on a fee basis to grain elevators, flour mills, farmers, and others. Being funded entirely by dedicated credits, the program has some flexibility to adjust its expenditures to meet the demands of the industry according to production during the year. As a result, there may be a fluctuation between the amount appropriated and the amount expended during the year.

#### Intent Language

The Analyst recommends **maintaining** the following intent language from H.B. 1, 2001 General Session:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the appropriation to the Grain Inspection program be nonlapsing.*

### 3.14 Insect Infestation

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a budget of **\$217,600**, funded mostly by the General Fund. Personal Services comprise **85** percent of the recommended appropriation. There are two permanent FTEs in this area, with an additional six FTEs of seasonal employees.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	199,700	205,100	204,800	(300)
General Fund, One-time		117,100		(117,100)
Federal Funds	112,000	12,800	12,800	
Dedicated Credits Revenue	33,500			
Beginning Nonlapsing	420,500	208,000		(208,000)
Closing Nonlapsing	(208,000)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$557,700</b>	<b>\$543,000</b>	<b>\$217,600</b>	<b>(\$325,400)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	372,800	359,400	185,200	(174,200)
In-State Travel	29,300	27,600	8,800	(18,800)
Out of State Travel	1,400	1,400	1,400	
Current Expense	128,700	151,700	19,000	(132,700)
DP Current Expense	9,000	2,900	3,200	300
Other Charges/Pass Thru	16,500			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$557,700</b>	<b>\$543,000</b>	<b>\$217,600</b>	<b>(\$325,400)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	16	8	8	

#### Purpose

The Insect Infestation Control Act of 1985 (UCA 4-35) mandates an effective control of insects that are extremely harmful to agricultural production in Utah. The law further provides quarantine powers to the Commissioner to prevent the spread or invasion of plant pests and disease. Program employees perform insect surveys and trappings.

#### Previous Building Block Report

During the FY 2002 supplemental appropriation process, the Executive Appropriations Committee funded \$120,000 in General Funds to combat the expected grasshopper and cricket infestation this summer. Another \$10,000 in matching dollars should be provided by the federal government. This funding will not be used on federal lands.

### 3.15 Marketing and Development

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a total budget of **\$437,900** funded entirely from the General Fund.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	437,900	443,600	437,900	(5,700)
General Fund, One-time		(19,700)		19,700
Federal Funds	100			
Beginning Nonlapsing	93,800	87,700		(87,700)
Closing Nonlapsing	(87,700)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$444,100</b>	<b>\$511,600</b>	<b>\$437,900</b>	<b>(\$73,700)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	256,600	264,300	257,300	(7,000)
In-State Travel	3,000	4,000	4,000	
Out of State Travel	7,600	6,300	6,300	
Current Expense	101,300	86,400	92,700	6,300
DP Current Expense	11,700	18,700	9,600	(9,100)
Other Charges/Pass Thru	63,900	131,900	68,000	(63,900)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$444,100</b>	<b>\$511,600</b>	<b>\$437,900</b>	<b>(\$73,700)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	5	5	5	

#### Purpose

This purpose of this program is to help production agriculture in economic development by expanding markets, adding value to locally-produced commodities, developing new products and promoting in-state processing of state, national, and international materials. The “Product of Utah” program identifies Utah products to the local consumer. Working with USDA’s Risk Management Agency, the division coordinates outreach efforts in educating Utah farmers about assistance programs and risk management tools available to them. A conservation arm of this program coordinates the department’s soil and water conservation efforts. The market news function of this program provides market information to Utah’s farmers and ranchers, and to associated agribusinesses.

#### Intent Language

The Analyst recommends **discontinuing** the following intent language from H.B. 1, 2001 General Session:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the appropriation of \$100,000 for Agribusiness Development be nonlapsing.*

The Utah Agribusiness Development Council provides input into projects of joint interest to the Department of Agriculture and Food and the Department of Community and Economic Development. Unfortunately, projects are slow in being funded. In the 2001 General Session, the Analyst expressed concern over the delay in spending these one-time funds that were appropriated in 1993, and therefore recommended that FY 2002 be the last time this intent language is passed. The following table shows projects approved, the level of funding approved, and estimated final costs.

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Approved</u>	<u>Est. Final Cost</u>
Agribusiness Database	\$52,000	\$20,000
Cull Cow	13,000	6,500
Straw/Mushroom (Preliminary Review)	18,000	5,000
US Food Export Showcase (2000)	10,000	6,000
Agribusiness Database Update (FFA)	6,000	3,000
Utah Soybean Processing Plant (USU)	6,500	6,500
Soybean Feasibility Study	20,000	Did Not Proceed
US Food Export Showcase (2001)	10,000	7,800
Budget Reduction	<u>13,500</u>	<u>13,500</u>
Total Expended to Date:	<u>\$149,000</u>	<u>\$68,400</u>
<u>Projects Committed</u>		
Strawboard, Grain Cleaning	\$16,000	\$16,000
Utah Food/Ag Products-Olympic Store	<u>14,500</u>	<u>14,500</u>
Total Committed	<u>\$30,500</u>	<u>\$30,500</u>
Grand Total	<u>\$179,500</u>	<u>\$98,800</u>

### 3.16 Research

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends an appropriation of **\$39,800** for the department's research projects. The **\$74,200** closing balance in FY 2001 represents projects that weren't completed by the end of the fiscal year.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	171,000	171,000	39,800	(131,200)
General Fund, One-time		(121,200)		121,200
Beginning Nonlapsing	31,100	74,200		(74,200)
Closing Nonlapsing	(74,200)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$127,900</b>	<b>\$124,000</b>	<b>\$39,800</b>	<b>(\$84,200)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Other Charges/Pass Thru	127,900	124,000	39,800	(84,200)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$127,900</b>	<b>\$124,000</b>	<b>\$39,800</b>	<b>(\$84,200)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				

#### Budget Reduction

The ongoing appropriation of \$171,000 for the Agricultural Research Program was reduced by \$121,200 during the FY 2002 supplemental appropriation process. The Analyst recommends reducing another \$10,000, which would leave \$39,800 for the department's most critical research needs.

**General Fund.....(\$10,000)**

#### Purpose

Historically, the Department has been allocated funding which it has used to finance its research priorities at the state's major universities, provide seed money for research projects, and match research dollars provided by others.

The Analyst recommends **maintaining** the following intent language from H.B. 1, 2001 General Session:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that appropriations to the Research program be nonlapsing.*



The following is a list of research projects funded for FY 2001:

<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Seroprevalence of mycobacterium paratuberculosis in cattle	USU	\$17,000
Peplotion approach to immunizing against Aleutian Disease	USU	15,000
Resazurin-spectrophotometric test for domestic animals	USU	18,000
Market opportunities and costs of food traceability	USU	18,000
Enterprise budgets of Utah	USU	4,000
Herbicide resistant wheat cultivars	USU	9,000
Developing sustainable vegetable production	USU	6,000
Control of noxious plants in Utah – Medusahead	USU	15,000
Fire blight and alternatives to organophosphates	FRC	15,000
Impact on tart cherries from worms and leaf hoppers	FRC	4,000
Genetic markers associated with cardiomyopathy in turkeys	BYU	21,000
CRP Maintenance	USU	4,000
Testing water content, purity, and viability of sagebrush seed	USDA	<u>15,000</u>
Total:		<u>\$161,000</u>

An additional 19 projects, totaling \$354,200, were requested but not funded.

## 4.0 Additional Information: Administration

### 4.1 Funding History

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>
General Fund	7,333,800	7,382,600	7,584,000	7,933,100	7,717,100
General Fund, One-time		130,000	315,000	(101,000)	
Federal Funds	1,734,400	1,812,000	1,893,700	2,053,800	2,061,600
Dedicated Credits Revenue	678,000	704,100	811,800	929,800	932,600
GFR - Horse Racing	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,100	50,000
GFR - Livestock Brand	632,000	681,200	737,500	681,900	689,100
GFR - TB & Bangs Control	10,000	6,800	10,000	6,800	
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	52,000	63,500	63,500	66,500	66,500
Transfers	598,700	897,000	714,200	586,500	536,200
Transfers - Fed Pass-thru	31,100				
Beginning Nonlapsing	1,249,400	1,344,000	1,322,000	1,584,800	
Closing Nonlapsing	(1,343,500)	(1,316,400)	(1,584,800)		
Lapsing Balance	(92,200)	(85,700)	(101,300)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$10,933,700</b>	<b>\$11,669,100</b>	<b>\$11,815,600</b>	<b>\$13,792,300</b>	<b>\$12,053,100</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
General Administration	1,066,600	1,107,300	1,361,300	1,740,900	1,242,100
Meat Inspection	1,430,000	1,625,200	1,574,600	1,594,700	1,606,600
Chemistry Laboratory	851,300	958,000	707,900	783,500	709,200
Animal Health	689,000	683,400	742,200	857,400	768,100
Agriculture Inspection	1,274,600	1,320,800	1,513,000	1,951,700	1,631,000
Regulatory Services	1,871,100	1,866,400	2,017,000	2,348,200	2,113,800
Public Affairs	69,600	82,700	77,600	89,700	83,300
Sheep Promotion	23,900	25,200	28,600	50,000	50,000
Auction Market Veterinarians	76,900	72,100	71,400	72,500	72,000
Brand Inspection	980,200	1,061,100	1,056,900	1,114,200	1,100,900
Utah Horse Commission	27,600	25,800	24,400	50,100	50,000
Environmental Quality	1,035,300	1,519,400	1,260,800	1,531,800	1,500,900
Grain Inspection	281,000	246,900	250,200	429,000	429,900
Insect Inspection	390,900	520,100	557,700	543,000	217,600
Marketing and Development	694,700	414,800	444,100	511,600	437,900
Research	171,000	139,900	127,900	124,000	39,800
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$10,933,700</b>	<b>\$11,669,100</b>	<b>\$11,815,600</b>	<b>\$13,792,300</b>	<b>\$12,053,100</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Personal Services	7,490,900	7,626,000	8,208,600	9,004,900	8,878,200
In-State Travel	149,100	146,300	146,500	162,300	143,500
Out of State Travel	72,100	76,800	75,100	86,800	87,300
Current Expense	1,280,500	1,442,800	1,455,000	1,460,900	1,073,400
DP Current Expense	410,700	457,000	455,800	538,200	276,400
DP Capital Outlay	2,800				
Capital Outlay	176,300	277,900	2,700	364,200	10,000
Other Charges/Pass Thru	1,351,300	1,642,300	1,471,900	2,175,000	1,584,300
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$10,933,700</b>	<b>\$11,669,100</b>	<b>\$11,815,600</b>	<b>\$13,792,300</b>	<b>\$12,053,100</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>					
Total FTE	178	169	180	184	184

## 4.2 Federal Funds

			<b>FY 2001 Actual</b>	<b>FY 2002 Estimated</b>	<b>FY 2003 Analyst</b>
Program:	Administration	Federal	4,800	3,000	3,100
Fed Agency:	Farmers Home Admin	State Match	0	0	0
Purpose:	Loan Mediation	Total	4,800	3,000	3,100
Program:	Meat Inspection	Federal	896,000	821,900	821,900
Fed Agency:	USDA	State Match	814,500	771,600	776,100
Purpose:	Meat Inspection	Total	1,710,500	1,593,500	1,598,000
Program:	Chemistry Lab	Federal	87,200	55,800	55,800
Fed Agency:	EPA and USDA	State Match	33,500	14,600	14,600
Purpose:	Pesticide and Meat Insp	Total	120,700	70,400	70,400
Program:	Animal Health	Federal	24,700	13,700	13,700
Fed Agency:	USDA	State Match	24,700	13,700	13,700
Purpose:	Meat Inspection	Total	49,400	27,400	27,400
Program:	Plant Industry	Federal	404,600	382,900	387,500
Fed Agency:	EPA and USDA	State Match	184,800	204,400	204,400
Purpose:	Pesticide Regulation	Total	589,400	587,300	591,900
Program:	Food and Dairy	Federal	92,700	109,700	112,700
Fed Agency:	USDA	State Match	36,300	45,000	45,000
Purpose:	Grading and Inspection	Total	129,000	154,700	157,700
Program:	Insect Infestation	Federal	97,700	12,800	12,800
Fed Agency:	USDA	State Match	17,000	0	0
Purpose:	Gypsy Moth	Total	114,700	12,800	12,800
Program:	Enviro Quality	Federal	286,000	654,000	654,100
Fed Agency:	BLM	State Match	0	0	0
Purpose:	Salinity Grant	Total	286,000	654,000	654,100
		<b>Federal Total</b>	<b>1,893,700</b>	<b>2,053,800</b>	<b>2,061,600</b>
		<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,110,800</b>	<b>1,049,300</b>	<b>1,053,800</b>
		<b>Total</b>	<b>3,004,500</b>	<b>3,103,100</b>	<b>3,115,400</b>

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

Office of the  
Legislative Fiscal Analyst

## **FY 2003 Budget Recommendations**

Joint Appropriations Subcommittee for  
Natural Resources

Utah Department of Agriculture and Food  
**Building Operation and Maintenance**

### Contents:

- 1.0 Summary
- 3.0 Programs
- 4.0 Additional Information

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

**1.0 Summary: Building Operation and Maintenance**

The Agriculture Building is located at 350 North Redwood Road. The Division of Facilities Construction and Management (DFCM) conducts management of the building.

	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Base</b>	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Changes</b>	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Total</b>
<b>Financing</b>			
General Fund	264,000		264,000
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$264,000</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$264,000</u>
<b>Programs</b>			
Building Operations	264,000		264,000
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$264,000</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$264,000</u>
<b>FTE/Other</b>			

### 3.0 Programs: Building Operation and Maintenance

#### 3.1 Building Operation and Maintenance

**Recommendation**      The Analyst recommends a budget of **\$264,000**, funded entirely from the General Fund. This is an increase of **\$36,000** over the amount approved for the last several years. DFCM received approval to increase its rates for higher maintenance costs.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	228,000	228,000	264,000	36,000
General Fund, One-time		15,800		(15,800)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$243,800</b>	<b>\$264,000</b>	<b>\$20,200</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Current Expense	228,000	243,800	264,000	20,200
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$243,800</b>	<b>\$264,000</b>	<b>\$20,200</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				

**Purpose**      The purpose of this program is to contract with the Division of Facilities and Construction Management (DFCM) for maintenance of the Agriculture Building.



## 4.0 Additional Information: Building Operation and Maintenance

### 4.1 Funding History

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>
General Fund	228,000	228,000	228,000	228,000	264,000
General Fund, One-time				15,800	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$243,800</b>	<b>\$264,000</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
Building Operations	228,000	228,000	228,000	243,800	264,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$243,800</b>	<b>\$264,000</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Current Expense	228,000	228,000	228,000	243,800	264,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$228,000</b>	<b>\$243,800</b>	<b>\$264,000</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>					

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

Office of the  
Legislative Fiscal Analyst

## **FY 2003 Budget Recommendations**

Joint Appropriations Subcommittee for  
Natural Resources

Utah Department of Agriculture and Food  
**Predatory Animal Control**

### Contents:

- 1.0 Summary
- 2.0 Issues
- 3.0 Programs
- 4.0 Additional Information

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

## 1.0 Summary: Predatory Animal Control

The Predatory Animal Control Program administers the Agricultural and Wildlife Damage Prevention Act (UCA 4-23) under guidance of the nine-member Agricultural and Wildlife Damage Prevention Board. The Commissioner and the Director of the Division of Wildlife Resources serve as the board's chair and vice chair. This line item consists of just one program.

The primary funding source is the General Fund (including General Fund transfers from the Division of Wildlife Resources), although some funding comes from the General Fund Restricted - Agricultural and Wildlife Damage Prevention Fund. Revenue to the restricted account comes from annual predator control fees (nicknamed a “head tax”) imposed on sheep, goats, cattle and turkeys that the program is designed to protect. Some of the revenue from sheep and fleece also goes to fund the Sheep Promotion program.

In spite of statute (UCA 4-23-9) requiring the Department to request General Funds equal to 120 percent of the money collected from the “head tax” during the previous fiscal year, the Legislature has been appropriating approximately double the required amount, or 240% (not counting the additional General Funds transferred from the Division of Wildlife Resources). The Analyst’s recommendation would maintain that percentage, as actual collections in [FY 2001](#) were [\\$265,500](#).

	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Base</b>	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Changes</b>	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Total</b>
<b>Financing</b>			
General Fund	643,900	(10,000)	633,900
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	456,200		456,200
Transfers	266,400		266,400
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$1,366,500</u>	<u>(\$10,000)</u>	<u>\$1,356,500</u>
<b>Programs</b>			
Predatory Animal Control	1,366,500	(10,000)	1,356,500
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$1,366,500</u>	<u>(\$10,000)</u>	<u>\$1,356,500</u>
<b>FTE/Other</b>			
Total FTE	17		17

## **2.0 Issues: Predatory Animal Control**

### **2.1 Ongoing General Fund Reductions**

In the FY 2002 supplemental budget appropriation, the Legislature identified \$14,700 in ongoing General Fund reductions. These reductions carry forward into FY 2003:

Current Expenses	(\$13,000)
Across-the-Board Cuts	(\$1,700)

**General Fund.....(\$14,700)**

### **2.2 Budget Reduction: Current Expenses**

During the FY 2002 supplemental appropriation process, a one-time reduction of \$19,400 was made for helicopter services. The Analyst recommends making part of this reduction ongoing, but for any current expense, not necessarily helicopter services. This would affect the program's General Fund base, not the transfers received from the Division of Wildlife Resources.

**General Fund.....(\$10,000)**

### 3.0 Programs: Predatory Animal Control

#### 3.1 Predatory Animal Control

##### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a total budget of **\$1,356,500** funded from three sources: the General Fund, the General Fund Restricted - Agricultural and Wildlife Damage Prevention Fund, and transfers from the Division of Wildlife Resources. Of the transfer, **\$200,000** is required by intent language, and the remaining **\$66,400** is required by law.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	630,300	643,200	633,900	(9,300)
General Fund, One-time		(34,200)		34,200
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	442,700	451,500	456,200	4,700
Transfers	265,300	279,000	266,400	(12,600)
Beginning Nonlapsing	253,400	215,600		(215,600)
Closing Nonlapsing	(215,600)			
Lapsing Balance	(213,600)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,162,500</b>	<b>\$1,555,100</b>	<b>\$1,356,500</b>	<b>(\$198,600)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	683,200	673,800	690,300	16,500
In-State Travel	42,100	47,000	47,000	
Out of State Travel	400	1,700	1,700	
Current Expense	189,300	392,600	177,500	(215,100)
DP Current Expense	1,100	10,000	10,000	
Capital Outlay	13,600			
Other Charges/Pass Thru	232,800	430,000	430,000	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,162,500</b>	<b>\$1,555,100</b>	<b>\$1,356,500</b>	<b>(\$198,600)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	17	17	17	

##### Purpose

This program is a cooperative effort between the USDA APHIS (Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service) and the Utah Department of Agriculture and Food. The cooperative program is jointly financed, with the federal government paying about half of the cost and providing sixteen FTEs.

The objective of the program is to minimize livestock and wildlife losses to predators on private, state and federal land. This objective is met by using non-lethal and some lethal control methods. The program also assists in controlling urban wildlife such as raccoons and skunks. Every year Utah woolgrowers lose about 10 percent of their animals to predators. Cattle ranchers suffer losses to coyotes, mountain lions, bears, and other predators. Annual livestock losses to predators cost an estimated \$3 million even with the program in place.

**Intent  
Language**

The Analyst recommends **continuing** the following intent language from H.B. 1, 2001 General Session:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the appropriation to the Predatory Animal Control program be nonlapsing.*

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the Division of Wildlife Resources transfer \$200,000 General Funds to the Department of Agriculture and Food. It is further the intent of the Legislature that \$100,000 of this transfer be used to match funds from local governments in the Predatory Animal Control program, and \$100,000 be used to supplement the amount required by UCA 4-23-9(2)(a). These funds shall be nonlapsing.*

**Performance  
Measures**

	1999 Actual	2000 Actual	2001 Actual	2002 Estimated	2003 Analyst
<b>Financing</b>					
General Fund	606,600	612,000	630,300	643,200	633,900
General Fund, One-time				(34,200)	
Dedicated Credits Revenue	32,000				
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	426,000	429,700	442,700	451,500	456,200
Transfers	75,000	114,500	265,300	279,000	266,400
Beginning Nonlapsing	133,200	203,400	253,400	215,600	
Closing Nonlapsing	(203,400)	(253,400)	(215,600)		
Lapsing Balance	(216,800)	(169,000)	(213,600)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$852,600</b>	<b>\$937,200</b>	<b>\$1,162,500</b>	<b>\$1,555,100</b>	<b>\$1,356,500</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
Predatory Animal Control	852,600	937,200	1,162,500	1,555,100	1,356,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$852,600</b>	<b>\$937,200</b>	<b>\$1,162,500</b>	<b>\$1,555,100</b>	<b>\$1,356,500</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Personal Services	631,000	634,800	683,200	673,800	690,300
In-State Travel	40,600	42,700	42,100	47,000	47,000
Out of State Travel		1,600	400	1,700	1,700
Current Expense	181,000	202,100	189,300	392,600	177,500
DP Current Expense			1,100	10,000	10,000
Capital Outlay			13,600		
Other Charges/Pass Thru		56,000	232,800	430,000	430,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$852,600</b>	<b>\$937,200</b>	<b>\$1,162,500</b>	<b>\$1,555,100</b>	<b>\$1,356,500</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>					
Total FTE	17	17	17	17	17



## 4.0 Additional Information: Predatory Animal Control

### 4.1 Funding History

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>
General Fund	606,600	612,000	630,300	643,200	633,900
General Fund, One-time				(34,200)	
Dedicated Credits Revenue	32,000				
GFR - Wildlife Damage Prev	426,000	429,700	442,700	451,500	456,200
Transfers	75,000	114,500	265,300	279,000	266,400
Beginning Nonlapsing	133,200	203,400	253,400	215,600	
Closing Nonlapsing	(203,400)	(253,400)	(215,600)		
Lapsing Balance	(216,800)	(169,000)	(213,600)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$852,600</b>	<b>\$937,200</b>	<b>\$1,162,500</b>	<b>\$1,555,100</b>	<b>\$1,356,500</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
Predatory Animal Control	852,600	937,200	1,162,500	1,555,100	1,356,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$852,600</b>	<b>\$937,200</b>	<b>\$1,162,500</b>	<b>\$1,555,100</b>	<b>\$1,356,500</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Personal Services	631,000	634,800	683,200	673,800	690,300
In-State Travel	40,600	42,700	42,100	47,000	47,000
Out of State Travel		1,600	400	1,700	1,700
Current Expense	181,000	202,100	189,300	392,600	177,500
DP Current Expense			1,100	10,000	10,000
Capital Outlay			13,600		
Other Charges/Pass Thru		56,000	232,800	430,000	430,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$852,600</b>	<b>\$937,200</b>	<b>\$1,162,500</b>	<b>\$1,555,100</b>	<b>\$1,356,500</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>					
Total FTE	17	17	17	17	17

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

Office of the  
Legislative Fiscal Analyst

## **FY 2003 Budget Recommendations**

Joint Appropriations Subcommittee for  
Natural Resources

Utah Department of Agriculture and Food  
**Resource Conservation**

### Contents:

- 1.0 Summary
- 2.0 Issues
- 3.0 Programs
- 4.0 Additional Information

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

## 1.0 Summary: Resource Conservation

The Resource Conservation line item encompasses three programs: Resource Conservation Administration, Soil Conservation Commission, and Soil Conservation Districts (SCD). Most of the funds in the line item go to the 38 individual SCDs or their state association, the Utah Association of Conservation Districts.

There is more demand on the state's private lands and water resources than ever. The purpose of the programs in this line item is to curb the loss of soil and water to natural erosion, man-caused pollution, and poor land use planning. There are many programs that have been developed to solve these problems. Most are voluntary and incentive-based, and most are delivered through the SCDs.

	Analyst FY 2003 Base	Analyst FY 2003 Changes	Analyst FY 2003 Total
<b>Financing</b>			
General Fund	1,171,400		1,171,400
Agri Resource Development	235,100		235,100
Closing Nonlapsing	(3,700)		(3,700)
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$1,402,800</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$1,402,800</u>
<b>Programs</b>			
Resource Conservation Administration	122,800		122,800
Soil Conservation Commission	9,200		9,200
Resource Conservation	1,270,800		1,270,800
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$1,402,800</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$1,402,800</u>
<b>FTE/Other</b>			
Total FTE	2		2

## **2.0 Issues: Resource Conservation**

### **2.1 Ongoing General Fund Reductions**

In the FY 2002 supplemental budget appropriation, the Legislature identified \$26,500 in ongoing General Fund reductions. These reductions carry forward into FY 2003:

Current Expenses	(\$500)
Across-the-Board Cuts	(\$5,700)
Pass-Through to Soil Conservation Districts	(\$20,300)
<b>General Fund.....</b>	<b>(\$26,500)</b>

### 3.0 Programs: Resource Conservation

#### 3.1 Resource Conservation Administration

##### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a total budget of **\$122,800**. Funding from the General Fund Restricted - Resource Development fund is used to cover costs of technical support to the Agricultural Resource Development Loan (ARDL) program.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	118,500	121,200	121,100	(100)
General Fund, One-time		375,900		(375,900)
Agri Resource Development	5,400	5,400	5,400	
Transfers		700		(700)
Beginning Nonlapsing		6,000		(6,000)
Closing Nonlapsing	(6,000)		(3,700)	(3,700)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$117,900</b>	<b>\$509,200</b>	<b>\$122,800</b>	<b>(\$386,400)</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	97,400	106,700	101,100	(5,600)
In-State Travel	4,000	4,000	4,000	
Out of State Travel	2,300	2,300	2,300	
Current Expense	7,400	12,800	9,000	(3,800)
DP Current Expense	6,800	5,800	6,400	600
Other Charges/Pass Thru		377,600		(377,600)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$117,900</b>	<b>\$509,200</b>	<b>\$122,800</b>	<b>(\$386,400)</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	2	2	2	

##### Purpose

This program complies with the Department's mandate (UCA 4-2-2(1)(o)) to "assist the Soil Conservation Commission in the administration of [the Soil Conservation Commission Act] and administer and disburse any funds which are available for the purpose of assisting soil conservation districts." In other words, this program provides technical and accounting support to the Soil Conservation Commission.

##### Intent Language

In all even-numbered years elections are held in each of the 38 conservation districts. Funds are provided each year, but are held during non-election years in a nonlapsing account. The Analyst recommends **continuing** the following intent language from H.B. 1, 2001 General Session:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that funding approved for Soil Conservation District elections be nonlapsing and be spent only during even-numbered years when elections take place.*

**Prior Building Block  
Report**

The 2001 Legislature passed S.B. 66, which appropriated one-time General Funds in the amount of \$400,000 for grants to Animal Feeding Operations. This amount was later reduced by \$22,400 in the FY 2002 supplemental appropriation. The department has received great response from Animal Feed Operations interested in taking steps necessary to avoid federal regulation.



### 3.2 Soil Conservation Commission

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a budget of **\$9,200** funded entirely from the General Fund. The funding will pay for seven Soil Conservation District supervisors to attend six meetings of the Soil Conservation Commission. There are no FTEs in the program.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	9,600	9,600	9,200	(400)
General Fund, One-time		(500)		500
Transfers		100		(100)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$9,600</b>	<b>\$9,200</b>	<b>\$9,200</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	3,100	3,100	3,200	100
In-State Travel	6,500	5,600	5,900	300
Current Expense		500	100	(400)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$9,600</b>	<b>\$9,200</b>	<b>\$9,200</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				

#### Purpose

The purpose of this program is to provide funding for the per diems of seven Soil Conservation District supervisors who sit on the Soil Conservation Commission (UCA 4-18-4). Each Soil Conservation District has five locally elected, individuals serving as supervisors, from whom the members of the Soil Conservation Commission are chosen.

### 3.3 Resource Conservation (Soil Conservation Districts)

#### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a total budget of **\$1,270,800**, funded from the General Fund and the General Fund Restricted - Agriculture Resource Development Fund. Financing from the GFR - Agriculture Resource Development Fund comes from fees and interest on loans, and is used in this program for administrative expenses. There are no FTEs in this program. District Supervisors are reimbursed for their expenses and receive some payment for their time when doing conservation work.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
General Fund	1,000,900	1,065,500	1,041,100	(24,400)
General Fund, One-time		(56,400)		56,400
Dedicated Credits Revenue	600			
Agri Resource Development	229,700	229,700	229,700	
Beginning Nonlapsing	2,500	2,400		(2,400)
Closing Nonlapsing	(2,400)			
Lapsing Balance	(100)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,231,200</b>	<b>\$1,241,200</b>	<b>\$1,270,800</b>	<b>\$29,600</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	63,100	51,500	51,500	
In-State Travel	45,700	33,700	33,700	
Out of State Travel	5,800	8,500	8,500	
Current Expense	9,100	(14,200)	(16,600)	(2,400)
DP Current Expense		10,000		(10,000)
Other Charges/Pass Thru	1,107,500	1,151,700	1,193,700	42,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,231,200</b>	<b>\$1,241,200</b>	<b>\$1,270,800</b>	<b>\$29,600</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				

#### Purpose

The purpose of this program is to channel funds (pass-through) by direct payments of contracts to individual Soil Conservation Districts or their state association, (Utah Association of Conservation Districts - UACD) to fulfill SCD statutory duties relative to soil and water conservation (see UCA 17A-3-805). SCDs have no taxing authority. They depend on the Soil Conservation Commission for their board of directors, elections, and accountability.

**Intent Language**

The Analyst recommends **maintaining** the following intent language from H.B. 1, 2001 General Session:

*It is the intent of the Legislature that the Soil Conservation Districts submit annual reports documenting supervisory expenses to the Legislative Fiscal Analyst, the Office of Planning and Budget, and the Soil Conservation Commission. It is also the intent of the Legislature that these documents be reviewed and reported to the Governor and the 2001 Legislature.*

In December of 2001 the Utah Association of Conservation Districts submitted a written report on supervisory expenses. The Analyst can provide copies if desired.

*It is the intent of the Legislature that collections for the "Ag Tag" license plate be nonlapsing.*

UCA 41-1a-408 allows the Division of Motor Vehicles to issue special "soil conservation license plates," the proceeds of which benefit this program. Collections to date have not been significant.

**Prior Building Block Report**

The 2001 Legislature appropriated ongoing General Funds in the amount of \$55,000 for an engineer to assist the Soil Conservation Districts.

## 4.0 Additional Information: Resource Conservation

### 4.1 Funding History

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>
General Fund	770,400	1,034,500	1,129,000	1,196,300	1,171,400
General Fund, One-time				319,000	
Dedicated Credits Revenue	900	800	600		
Agri Resource Development	234,400	234,400	235,100	235,100	235,100
Transfers		(3,100)		800	
Beginning Nonlapsing		4,600	2,500	8,400	
Closing Nonlapsing	(4,900)	(8,000)	(8,400)		(3,700)
Lapsing Balance	(5,300)	(4,700)	(100)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$995,500</b>	<b>\$1,258,500</b>	<b>\$1,358,700</b>	<b>\$1,759,600</b>	<b>\$1,402,800</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
Resource Conservation Administration	113,100	123,400	117,900	509,200	122,800
Soil Conservation Commission	10,300	10,300	9,600	9,200	9,200
Resource Conservation	872,100	1,124,800	1,231,200	1,241,200	1,270,800
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$995,500</b>	<b>\$1,258,500</b>	<b>\$1,358,700</b>	<b>\$1,759,600</b>	<b>\$1,402,800</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Personal Services	149,100	161,300	163,600	161,300	155,800
In-State Travel	47,700	47,100	56,200	43,300	43,600
Out of State Travel	10,600	7,200	8,100	10,800	10,800
Current Expense	18,100	29,300	16,500	(900)	(7,500)
DP Current Expense	20,900	7,700	6,800	15,800	6,400
Other Charges/Pass Thru	749,100	1,005,900	1,107,500	1,529,300	1,193,700
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$995,500</b>	<b>\$1,258,500</b>	<b>\$1,358,700</b>	<b>\$1,759,600</b>	<b>\$1,402,800</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>					
Total FTE	2	2	2	2	2

Office of the  
Legislative Fiscal Analyst

## **FY 2003 Budget Recommendations**

Joint Appropriations Subcommittee for  
Natural Resources

Utah Department of Agriculture and Food  
**Agricultural Loan Program**

### Contents:

- 1.0 Summary
- 3.0 Programs
- 4.0 Additional Information

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

## 1.0 Summary: Agricultural Loan Program

The Department administers two types of loans:

- The Agriculture Resource Development Fund.** UCA 59-12-103(5)(b) requires that sales and use tax revenue generated by a 1/8 percent rate be used to deposit \$500,000 annually into this fund. Since this is in statute, it does not need to be part of the annual Appropriations Act. Other funding sources include loan repayments, interest, and money appropriated by the Legislature. Loans may be made for rangeland improvement, watershed protection, flood prevention, soil and water conservation, and energy efficient farming projects. The Agriculture Resource Development Loan (ARDL) provides low-interest (3 percent annual interest plus a one-time four percent technical assistance fee) loans.
- The Utah Rural Rehabilitation Fund.** Established from a one-time federal appropriation in 1937, this revolving loan fund is replenished by repayments and low interest rates. Interest rates are set by the Agricultural Advisory Board (4-19-3). This fund received a \$1 million supplemental appropriation in 1993. In essence, the Rural Rehabilitation Program is a lender of last resort to farmers who represent too high a risk to acquire financing from conventional lending institutions. Assets may be used for real estate loans, farm operating loans, youth loans, educational loans, and irrigation / water conservation loans.

During the 1999 legislative session, SB 85 authorized the Department to transfer up to \$2 million from the Agriculture Resource Development Fund to the Rural Rehabilitation Fund.

	Analyst FY 2003 Base	Analyst FY 2003 Changes	Analyst FY 2003 Total
<b>Financing</b>			
Agri Resource Development	296,100		296,100
Utah Rural Rehab Loan	18,000		18,000
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$314,100</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$314,100</u>
<b>Programs</b>			
Agriculture Loan Program	314,100		314,100
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$314,100</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$314,100</u>
<b>FTE/Other</b>			
Total FTE	5		5

### 3.0 Programs: Agricultural Loan Program

#### 3.1 Loan Program

##### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends a total budget of **\$314,100** for loan fund administration. Funds are transferred from the two Agriculture loan funds.

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
Agri Resource Development	296,100	296,100	296,100	
Utah Rural Rehab Loan	18,000	18,000	18,000	
Lapsing Balance	(71,700)			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$242,400</b>	<b>\$314,100</b>	<b>\$314,100</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	194,400	254,100	254,900	800
In-State Travel	2,200	4,200	2,200	(2,000)
Out of State Travel	3,200	4,400	2,800	(1,600)
Current Expense	30,800	39,000	41,500	2,500
DP Current Expense	9,100	9,700	10,000	300
DP Capital Outlay	2,700	2,700	2,700	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$242,400</b>	<b>\$314,100</b>	<b>\$314,100</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	4	5	5	

##### Purpose

This program is responsible for the administration of the two loan fund programs.

The following two pages contain account information for the two loan funds.



	199	200	201	202	203
<b>Funding</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Actual</b>
Ag Resource Development	26,100	21,400	26,100	26,100	26,100
Urban Rural Rehabilitation	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
Lapsing Balance	(6,500)		(7,700)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$28,600</b>	<b>\$21,400</b>	<b>\$22,400</b>	<b>\$14,100</b>	<b>\$14,100</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
Agriculture Loan Program	28,600	21,400	22,400	34,100	34,100
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$28,600</b>	<b>\$21,400</b>	<b>\$22,400</b>	<b>\$14,100</b>	<b>\$14,100</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Personal Services	21,820	17,970	19,400	25,100	25,900
In State Travel	410	420	220	420	220
Out of State Travel	120		320	440	280
Current Expense	2,100	2,180	3,880	3,900	4,500
DP Current Expense	140	1,100	910	970	1,000
DP Capital Outlay	270	270	270	270	270
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$28,600</b>	<b>\$21,400</b>	<b>\$22,400</b>	<b>\$14,100</b>	<b>\$14,100</b>
<b>FTEs</b>					
Total FTE	5	5	4	5	5

<b>Rural Rehabilitation Loan Fund</b>			
	<b>FY 2001</b>	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>FY 2003</b>
<b>Operating Revenues and Expenses</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>
Revenues:			
Interest on Loans	\$253,000	\$200,000	\$210,000
Other Revenue	36,100	35,000	35,000
Total Operating Revenues	\$289,100	\$235,000	\$245,000
Expenses:			
Personal Services	\$13,900	\$14,100	\$14,300
Travel	300	600	500
Current Expense	3,800	2,600	2,500
Data Processing		700	700
Total Expenses	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$18,000
Total Operating Profit (Loss)	\$271,100	\$217,000	\$227,000
Transfers Out	0	0	0
Net Income	\$271,100	\$217,000	\$227,000
<b>Balance Sheet</b>			
Assets:			
Cash	\$232,000	\$280,200	\$397,200
Accounts Receivable	5,479,500	5,300,000	5,300,000
Accrued Interest	122,400	90,000	100,000
Other Investments	521,700	900,000	1,000,000
Total Assets	\$6,355,600	\$6,570,200	\$6,797,200
Liabilities:			
Accounts Payable	\$2,400		
Contributed Working Capital (Equity)	4,254,600	4,254,600	4,254,600
Retained Earnings (Equity)	2,098,600	2,315,600	2,542,600
Total Liabilities	\$6,355,600	\$6,570,200	\$6,797,200
New Loans Closed	\$824,400		
Anticipated Principal Payments		\$540,300	

## 4.0 Additional Information: Agricultural Loans

### 4.1 Funding History

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>
Agri Resource Development	296,100	201,400	296,100	296,100	296,100
Utah Rural Rehab Loan	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000	18,000
Lapsing Balance	(65,500)		(71,700)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$248,600</b>	<b>\$219,400</b>	<b>\$242,400</b>	<b>\$314,100</b>	<b>\$314,100</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
Agriculture Loan Program	248,600	219,400	242,400	314,100	314,100
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$248,600</b>	<b>\$219,400</b>	<b>\$242,400</b>	<b>\$314,100</b>	<b>\$314,100</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Personal Services	218,200	179,700	194,400	254,100	254,900
In-State Travel	4,100	4,200	2,200	4,200	2,200
Out of State Travel	1,200		3,200	4,400	2,800
Current Expense	21,000	21,800	30,800	39,000	41,500
DP Current Expense	1,400	11,000	9,100	9,700	10,000
DP Capital Outlay	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$248,600</b>	<b>\$219,400</b>	<b>\$242,400</b>	<b>\$314,100</b>	<b>\$314,100</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>					
Total FTE	5	5	4	5	5

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

Office of the  
Legislative Fiscal Analyst

## **FY 2003 Budget Recommendations**

Joint Appropriations Subcommittee for  
Natural Resources

Utah Department of Agriculture and Food  
**Data Processing Internal Service Fund**

### Contents:

- 1.0 Summary
- 3.0 Programs
- 4.0 Additional Information

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

## 1.0 Summary: Data Processing Internal Service Fund

The Department created an internal service fund (ISF) in 1986 so that each division could share the costs of acquiring expensive computer servers. Each division that uses data processing services pays its "fair share" of computer costs by the ISF. The Fund covers the personal services expenses, current expenses, depreciation expense, capital acquisitions, and the Division of Finance's overhead charge. Funds are all pooled into one program that provides the necessary data processing for the divisions. This avoids unnecessary duplication of expenses.

In the 1988 session, the Legislature passed HB 81, which provides budgetary controls over ISFs. The law does not allow an ISF to bill another line item unless the Legislature has:

- Reviewed and approved the ISF's budget request;
- Reviewed and approved the ISF's rates, fees, and other charges and included those rates, fees and charges in an appropriations act;
- Approved the number of employees;
- Appropriated the estimated revenue based on the rates and fee structure.
- Separately reviewed and approved the capital needs and related capital budget.

No new ISF agency may be established unless reviewed and approved by the Legislature.

Sometimes Internal Service Funds cause concerns if an agency receives federal funds. Federal funds are usually required to be spent for strict purposes. Federal auditors often audit Internal Service Funds very carefully to ensure propriety of funds.

	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Base</b>	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Changes</b>	<b>Analyst FY 2003 Total</b>
<b>Financing</b>			
Dedicated Credits - Intragvt Rev	280,000		280,000
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$280,000</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$280,000</u>
<b>Programs</b>			
ISF - Agri Data Processing	280,000		280,000
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$280,000</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$280,000</u>
<b>FTE/Other</b>			
Total FTE	3		3
Authorized Capital Outlay	59,600	0	59,600
Retained Earnings	(10,300)	0	(10,300)

### 3.0 Programs: Data Processing Internal Service Fund

#### 3.1 Data Processing Internal Service Fund

##### Recommendation

The Analyst recommends:

- Approved revenues of **\$280,000**
- Approved operating expenses of **\$280,000**
- Net Operating Income of **\$0**
- The rate and fee schedule shown below
- **3 FTEs**
- Approved capital purchases in the amount of **\$59,600** with a five-year depreciation schedule

	2001	2002	2003	Est/Analyst
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>	<b>Difference</b>
Dedicated Credits - Intragvt Rev	282,500	251,600	280,000	28,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$282,500</b>	<b>\$251,600</b>	<b>\$280,000</b>	<b>\$28,400</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Personal Services	166,800	183,700	184,300	600
In-State Travel		1,500	500	(1,000)
Current Expense	5,600	5,000	5,400	400
DP Current Expense	80,500	30,600	47,000	16,400
Other Charges/Pass Thru	1,900			
Depreciation	19,300	30,800	42,800	12,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$274,100</b>	<b>\$251,600</b>	<b>\$280,000</b>	<b>\$28,400</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>				
Total FTE	3	3	3	
Authorized Capital Outlay		58,000	59,600	1,600
Retained Earnings	(10,300)	(10,300)	(10,300)	

##### Rate Schedule

	FY 2002	FY 2003
	<u>Current</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Programmer, per hour	\$50.00	\$50.00
Programmer, per hour overtime	75.00	75.00
LAN: Port charges per year/per port (connection)	<b>2,900.00</b>	<b>3,200.00</b>
Port charges per year/per PC	500.00	500.00
Technical assistance/consultation, per hour	50.00	50.00
Installation	Negotiable	Negotiable
GIS rate, per hour	50.00	50.00
GIS rate, per hour overtime	75.00	75.00
Portable PC daily rental	15.00	15.00
Print 8.5 x 11 sheet		<b>1.00</b>
Print per linear foot (large format map)		<b>1.50</b>



<b>Revenue by Agency</b>	<u>Line Item</u>	
	Administration	\$273,600
	Resource Conservation	<u>6,400</u>
	Total	<u>\$280,000</u>

<b>Capital Expenditures</b>		
	GIS Upgrade	\$11,400
	Obsolete Equipment and Software Replacement	37,000
	Printer	10,000
	Computer	<u>1,200</u>
	Total	<u>\$59,600</u>

**Purpose** Provides consolidated computer services to all divisions and programs in the Department.

Last year the Analyst reported concern about losses in the fund's retained earnings. In FY 2001 the fund had an operating income of \$8,400, which improved retained earnings from (\$18,800) to (\$10,300). In order to further improve its position, the fund needs to increase rates. However, increasing rates may be a sign that the fund is not providing intended economies of scale. Today's smaller, cheaper computer systems are increasingly capable of running most of the department's data processing needs. The Analyst will continue to evaluate this fund during the 2002 interim to see if it should be discontinued.

## 4.0 Additional Information: Data Processing Internal Service Fund

### 4.1 Funding History

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
<b>Financing</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Estimated</b>	<b>Analyst</b>
Dedicated Credits - Intragvt Rev	232,700	274,100	282,500	251,600	280,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$232,700</b>	<b>\$274,100</b>	<b>\$282,500</b>	<b>\$251,600</b>	<b>\$280,000</b>
<b>Programs</b>					
ISF - Agri Data Processing	232,700	274,100	282,500	251,600	280,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$232,700</b>	<b>\$274,100</b>	<b>\$282,500</b>	<b>\$251,600</b>	<b>\$280,000</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>					
Personal Services	166,000	171,300	166,800	183,700	184,300
In-State Travel	1,100	1,300		1,500	500
Current Expense	5,800	12,300	5,600	5,000	5,400
DP Current Expense	96,500	98,400	80,500	30,600	47,000
Other Charges/Pass Thru	2,300	1,600	1,900		
Depreciation	22,400	26,500	19,300	30,800	42,800
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$294,100</b>	<b>\$311,400</b>	<b>\$274,100</b>	<b>\$251,600</b>	<b>\$280,000</b>
<b>FTE/Other</b>					
Total FTE	3	3	3	3	3
Authorized Capital Outlay		30,100		58,000	59,600
Retained Earnings	18,600	(18,700)	(10,300)	(10,300)	(10,300)

Office of the  
Legislative Fiscal Analyst

## **FY 2003 Budget Recommendations**

Joint Appropriations Subcommittee for  
Natural Resources

Utah Department of Agriculture and Food  
**Agricultural Fees**

Contents:

Agricultural Fees

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

**Agricultural Fees**

In accordance with Section 4-2-2(2) the following fees are proposed for the services of the Department of Agriculture and Food for FY 2002.

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
General Administration:					
Produce Dealers					
Produce Dealer	25.00	25.00			
Dealer's Agent	10.00	10.00			
Broker/Agent	25.00	25.00			
Produce Broker	25.00	25.00			
Livestock Dealer	25.00	25.00			
Livestock Dealer/Agent	10.00	10.00			
Livestock Auctions					
Livestock Auction Market	50.00	50.00			
Auction Weigh Person	10.00	10.00			
Registered Farms Recording Fee	10.00	10.00			
Citations	Up to 500.00	Up to 500.00			
Meat Inspection					
Inspection Service Fee	39.00	39.00			
Meat Packing					
Meat Packing Plant	50.00	50.00			
Custom Exempt	50.00	50.00			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Chemistry Laboratory					
Feed and Meat					
Moisture, 1 sample	15.00	15.00			
Moisture, 2-5 samples, per sample	10.00	10.00			
Moisture, over 6 samples, per sample	5.00	5.00			
Fat, 1 sample	30.00	30.00			
Fat, 2-5 samples, per sample	25.00	25.00			
Fat, over 6 samples, per sample	20.00	20.00			
Fiber, 1 sample	45.00	45.00			
Fiber, 2-5 samples, per sample	40.00	40.00			
Fiber, over 6 samples, per sample	35.00	35.00			
Protein, 1 sample	25.00	25.00			
Protein, 2-5 samples, per sample	20.00	20.00			
Protein, over 6 samples, per sample	15.00	15.00			
NPN, 1 sample	20.00	20.00			
NPN, 2-5 samples, per sample	15.00	15.00			
NPN, over 6 samples, per sample	10.00	10.00			
Ash, 1 sample	15.00	15.00			
Ash, 2-5 samples, per sample	10.00	10.00			
Ash, over 6 samples, per sample	5.00	5.00			
Fertilizer					
Nitrogen, 1 sample	25.00	25.00			
Nitrogen, 2-5 samples, per sample	20.00	20.00			
Nitro, over 6 samples, per sample	15.00	15.00			
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , 1 sample	30.00	30.00			
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , 2-5 samples, per sample	25.00	25.00			
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , over 6 samples, per sample	20.00	20.00			
K <sub>2</sub> O, 1 sample	25.00	25.00			
K <sub>2</sub> O, 2-5 samples, per sample	20.00	20.00			
K <sub>2</sub> O, over 6 samples, per sample	15.00	15.00			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Trace Elements (Atomic Absorption)					
Iron	20.00	20.00			
Copper	20.00	20.00			
Zinc	20.00	20.00			
Manganese	20.00	20.00			
Molybdenum	40.00	40.00			
Trace Elements (In Water)					
Iron	10.00	10.00			
Copper	10.00	10.00			
Zinc	10.00	10.00			
Manganese	10.00	10.00			
Molybdenum	10.00	10.00			
Vitamins					
Vitamin A, 1 sample	60.00	60.00			
Vit. A, 2-5 samples, per sample	55.00	55.00			
Vit. A, over 6 samples, per sam	50.00	50.00			
Vitamin B, 1 sample	60.00	60.00			
Vit. B, 2-5 samples, per sample	55.00	55.00			
Vit. B, over 6 samples, per sam	50.00	50.00			
Vitamin B2, 1 sample	60.00	60.00			
Vit. B2, 2-5 samples, per sample	55.00	55.00			
Vit. B2, over 6 samples, per sam	50.00	50.00			
Vitamin C, 1 sample	60.00	60.00			
Vit. C, 2-5 samples, per sample	55.00	55.00			
Vit. C, over 6 samples, per sam	50.00	50.00			
Minerals					
Calcium, 1 sample	25.00	25.00			
Calcium, 2-5 samples, per sample	20.00	20.00			
Calcium, over 6 samples, per sam	15.00	15.00			
Sodium Chloride, 1 sample	25.00	25.00			
Sodium Chl., 2-5 samples, per sam	20.00	20.00			
Sodium Chl., over 6 sams, per sam	15.00	15.00			
Iodine, 1 sample	25.00	25.00			
Iodine, 2-5 samples, per sample	20.00	20.00			
Iodine, over 6 samples, per sam	15.00	15.00			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Drugs and Antibiotics					
Sulfamethazine Screen, 1 sample	25.00	25.00			
Sulfamethazine Screen, 2-5 samples, per sample	20.00	20.00			
Sulfamethazine Screen, over 6 samples, per sample	15.00	15.00			
Aflatoxin-Elisamethod, 1 sample	25.00	25.00			
Aflatoxin-Elisamethod, 2-5 samples, per sample	20.00	20.00			
Aflatoxin-Elisamethod, over 6 samples, per sample	15.00	15.00			
Pesticides/Herbicides					
Chlorinated Hydrocarbon Screen, 1 sample	70.00	70.00			
Chlorinated Hydrocarbon Screen, 2-5 samples, per sample	65.00	65.00			
Chlorinated Hydrocarbon Screen, over 6 samples, per sample	60.00	60.00			
Organo Phosphate Screen, 1 sample	70.00	70.00			
Organo Phosphate Screen, 2-5 samples, per sample	65.00	65.00			
Organo Phosphate Screen, over 6 samples, per sample	60.00	60.00			



	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Chlorophenoxy Herbicide Screen					
Reports for the following components:					
2-4D, 1 sample	150.00	150.00			
2-4D, 2-5 samples, per sample	140.00	140.00			
2-4D, over 6 samples, per sample	130.00	130.00			
2,4,5-T Screen, 1 sample	150.00	150.00			
2,4,5-T, 2-5 samples, per sam	140.00	140.00			
2,4,5-T, over 6 samples, per sample	130.00	130.00			
Silvex, 1 sample	150.00	150.00			
Silvex, 2-5 samples, per sample	140.00	140.00			
Silvex, over 6 samples, per sample	130.00	130.00			
Individual components from screens:					
1 sample	75.00	75.00			
2-5 samples, per sample	70.00	70.00			
over 6 samples, per sam	65.00	65.00			
Certification Fee - Milk Laboratory Evaluation Program					
Basic Lab Fee	50.00	50.00			
Number of Certified Analyst (3 x \$10.00)	30.00	30.00			
Number of Approved Test (3 x \$10.00)	30.00	30.00			
Total Yearly Assessed Fee	90.00	90.00			
Standard Plate count	5.00	5.00			
Coliform Count	5.00	5.00			
Test for Inhibitory Substances (antibiotics)	5.00	5.00			
Phosphatase Test	15.00	15.00			
WMT Screening Test	5.00	5.00			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
DMSCC (Confirmation)	10.00	10.00			
DSCC (Foss Instrumentation)	5.00	5.00			
Coliform Confirmation	5.00	5.00			
Container Rinse Test	10.00	10.00			
H <sub>2</sub> O Coli Total Count (MF Filtration)	5.00	5.00			
H <sub>2</sub> O Coli Confirmation Test	5.00	5.00			
Butterfat % (Babcock Method)	10.00	10.00			
Added H <sub>2</sub> O in Raw Milk (Cryoscope Instr)	5.00	5.00			
Reactivated Phosphatase Confirmation	15.00	15.00			
Antibiotic Confirmation Tests	10.00	10.00			
All Other Services, per hour	30.00	30.00			
Animal Health					
Inspection Service Fee	39.00	39.00			
Commercial Aquaculture Facility	150.00	150.00			
Commercial Fee Fishing Facility	30.00	30.00			
Citation, per violation	100.00	100.00			
Citation, per head	2.00	2.00			
If not paid within 15 days 2 times citation fee					
If not paid within 30 days 4 times citation fee					
Feed Garbage to Swine	25.00	25.00			
Hatchery					
Hatchery Operation (Poultry)	25.00	25.00			
Health Certificate Book	8.00	8.00			
Coggins testing	5.00	5.00			
Service fee for Veterinarians					
(Dog food and Brine shrimp, misc.), per day	250.00	250.00			
Service fee for Veterinarians					
(Dog food and Brine shrimp, misc.), per mile	State Rate	State Rate			
Write International CVI	5.00	5.00			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Agricultural Inspection					
Shipping Point					
Fruit					
Packages, 19.lb. or less,					
per package	0.020	0.020			
20 to 29 lb. package,					
per package	0.025	0.025			
Over 29 lb. package,					
per package	0.030	0.030			
Bulk load, per cwt.	0.045	0.045			
Vegetables					
Potatoes, per cwt.	0.055	0.055			
Onions, per cwt.	0.060	0.060			
Cucurbita family includes:					
Watermelon, muskmelon, squash (summer,					
fall, & winter), pumpkin, gourd & others					
per cwt	0.045	0.045			
Other vegetables					
Less than 60 lb. package,					
per package	0.035	0.035			
Over 60 lb. package,					
per package	0.045	0.045			
Phytosanitary Inspection, per insp.	25.00	25.00			
With grade certification	15.00	15.00			
Minimum charge per grade certificate					
for one commodity (except regular rate					
at continuous grading facilities)	<del>23.00</del>	<u>24.50</u>	1.50	Unknown	
Minimum charge per commodity for mixed loads, (not					
(to exceed \$45.00 per mixed load)	<del>23.00</del>	<u>24.50</u>	1.50	Unknown	
Hourly charge for inspection of raw products					
at processing plants	<del>23.00</del>	<u>24.50</u>	1.50	300	\$450

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Hourly charge for inspectors' time more than 40 hours per week (overtime), plus regular fees	<del>34.50</del>	<u>36.75</u>	2.25	Unknown	
Hourly charge for major holidays and Sundays (four-hour minimum), plus regular fees	<del>34.50</del>	<u>36.75</u>	2.25	Unknown	
Holidays include:					
New Year's Day					
Memorial Day					
Independence Day					
Labor Day					
Thanksgiving Day					
Christmas Day					
All Inspections shall include mileage which will be charged according to the current mileage rate of the State of Utah					
Export Compliance Agreements	50.00	50.00			
Nursery					
Nursery	<del>50.00</del>	Replaced			
Nursery Outlet	<del>50.00</del>	Replaced			
Gross Sales Fee					
\$00.00 to \$5,000		<u>20.00</u>	20.00	25	\$500.00
\$5,001 to \$100,000		<u>40.00</u>	40.00	270	\$10,800.00
\$100,001 to \$250,000		<u>60.00</u>	60.00	150	\$9,000.00
\$250,001 to \$500,000		<u>80.00</u>	80.00	60	\$4,800.00
\$500,001 and up		<u>100.00</u>	100.00	70	\$7,000.00
Nursery Agency	25.00	25.00			
Feed					
Commercial Feed	25.00	25.00			
Custom Formula Permit	50.00	50.00			
Pesticide					
Commercial Applicator Certification					
Triennial (3 year) Certification and License	45.00	45.00			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Annual License	15.00	15.00			
Replacement of lost or stolen Certificate/License	15.00	15.00			
Failed examinations may be retaken two more times at no charge					
Additional re-testing (two more times)	15.00	15.00			
Triennial (3 year) Examination and educational materials fee	20.00	20.00			
Product Registration	60.00	60.00			
Processing Service Fee		<u>10.00</u>	10.00	9,000	\$90,000
Dealer license					
Annual	15.00	15.00			
Triennial	45.00	45.00			
Fertilizer					
Blenders License	50.00	50.00			
Annual Assessment, per ton	0.15	0.15			
Minimum annual assessment	20.00	20.00			
Fertilizer Registration	25.00	25.00			
Beekeepers					
Insect Identification Fee	10.00	10.00			
License	10.00	10.00			
Inspection fee, per hour	30.00	30.00			
Salvage Wax Registration fee	10.00	10.00			
Control Atmosphere	10.00	10.00			
Seed Purity					
Flowers	10.00	10.00			
Grains	6.00	6.00			
Grasses	15.00	15.00			
Legumes	6.00	6.00			
Trees and Shrubs	10.00	10.00			
Vegetables	6.00	6.00			
Seed Germination					
Flowers	10.00	10.00			
Grains	6.00	6.00			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Grasses	10.00	10.00			
Legumes	6.00	6.00			
Trees and Shrubs	10.00	10.00			
Vegetables	6.00	6.00			
Seed Tetrazolium Test					
Flowers	20.00	20.00			
Grains	12.00	12.00			
Grasses	20.00	20.00			
Legumes	15.00	15.00			
Trees and Shrubs	20.00	20.00			
Vegetables	12.00	12.00			
Embryo Analysis (Loose Smut Test)	11.00	11.00			
Cutting Test	8.00	8.00			
Mill Check	Hourly Charge	Hourly Charge			
Examination of Extra Quantity for					
Other Crop or Weed Seed	Hourly Charge	Hourly Charge			
Examination for Noxious					
Weeds Only	Hourly Charge	Hourly Charge			
Identification	No Charge	No Charge			
Hourly Charges	<del>23.00</del>	<u>24.50</u>	1.50	Unknown	
Additional Copies of Analysis Reports	1.00	1.00			
Hourly charge for any other inspection					
service performed on an hourly basis					
(one hour minimum)	<del>23.00</del>	<u>24.50</u>	1.50	Unknown	
Mixtures will be charged based on the sum					
for each individual kind in excess of 5 percent.					
Samples which require excessive time, screenings,					
low grade, dirty, or unusually difficult sample					
will be charged at the hourly rate.					
Charges for tests or kinds of seeds not listed					
will be determined by the Seed Laboratory.					
Hourly charges may be made on seed treated with					
"Highly Toxic Substances" if special handling is					
necessary for the Analyst's safety.					

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Discount germination is a non-priority service intended for carry over seed which is ideal for checking inventories from May through August. The discount service is available during the rest of the year, but delays in testing may result due to high test volume of priority samples. Ten (10) or more samples receive 50 percent discount off normal germination fees.					
Emergency service, per sample, single component only	42.00	42.00			
Hay & Straw Weed Free Certification Certificate					
Bulk loads of hay up to 10 loads	25.00	25.00			
Hourly rate	<del>23.00</del>	<u>24.50</u>	1.50	Unknown	
If time involved is 1 hr or less	<del>23.00</del>	<u>24.50</u>	1.50	Unknown	
Charge for each hay tag	0.10	0.10			
Citations, maximum per violation	500.00	500.00			
Organic Certification					
Annual registration of producers, Handlers, processors or Combination	100.00	100.00			
Hourly fee for inspection	23.00	23.00			
Hourly charge for inspectors' time more than 40 hours per week (overtime) plus regular fees	34.50	34.50			
Hourly charge for major holidays and Sundays (four hour min.) plus regular fees	34.50	34.50			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Gross Sales Fees (\$10.00 min.) based					
on previous calendar year according					
to the following schedule:					
\$0 to \$5,000	Exempt	Exempt			
\$5,001 to \$10,000	50.00	50.00			
\$10,001 to \$15,000	75.00	75.00			
\$15,001 to \$20,000	100.00	100.00			
\$20,001 to \$25,000	125.00	125.00			
\$25,001 to \$30,000	150.00	150.00			
\$30,001 to \$35,000	175.00	175.00			
\$35,001 to \$50,000	250.00	250.00			
\$50,001 to \$75,000	375.00	375.00			
\$75,001 to \$100,000	500.00	500.00			
\$100,001 to \$150,000	690.00	690.00			
\$150,001 to \$280,000	1,050.00	1,050.00			
\$280,001 to 375,000	1,250.00	1,250.00			
\$375,001 to \$500,000	1,460.00	1,460.00			
\$500,001 and up	2,000.00	2,000.00			
Regulatory Services					
Bedding/Upholstered Furniture					
Manufacturers of bedding and/or					
upholstered furniture	55.00	55.00			
Wholesale Dealer	55.00	55.00			
Supply Dealer	55.00	55.00			
Manufacturers of Quilted Clothing	55.00	55.00			
Upholsterer with employees	40.00	40.00			
Upholsterer without employees	25.00	25.00			



	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Dairy					
Test milk for payment	<del>25.00</del>	<u>30.00</u>	5.00	15	\$75.00
Operate milk manufacturing plant	<del>50.00</del>	<u>75.00</u>	25.00	20	\$500.00
Make butter	<del>25.00</del>	<u>30.00</u>	5.00	5	\$25.00
Haul farm bulk milk	<del>25.00</del>	<u>30.00</u>	5.00	160	\$800.00
Make cheese	<del>25.00</del>	<u>30.00</u>	5.00	20	\$100.00
Operate a pasteurizer	<del>25.00</del>	<u>30.00</u>	5.00	120	\$600.00
Operate a milk processing plant	<del>50.00</del>	<u>75.00</u>	25.00	20	\$500.00
Special Inspection Fees					
Food and Dairy Inspection fee, per hour	26.50	26.50			
Food and Dairy Inspection fee, overtime rate	34.40	34.40			
Certificate of Inspection	10.00	10.00			
Citations, maximum per violation	500.00	500.00			
Weights and Measures					
Weighing and measuring devices/ individual servicemen	<del>40.00</del>	<u>15.00</u>	5.00	200	\$1,000.00
Weighing and measuring devices/ agency	<del>50.00</del>	<u>75.00</u>	25.00	35	\$875.00
Special Scale Inspections					
Large Capacity Truck					
Per man hour	20.00	20.00			
Per mile	1.50	1.50			
Per hour equipment use	25.00	25.00			
Pickup truck					
Per man hour	20.00	20.00			
Per mile	0.75	0.75			
Per hour equipment use	15.00	15.00			
Overnight Trip	Per Diem and Cost of Motel	Per Diem and Cost of Motel			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Petroleum Refinery Fee					
Gasoline					
Octane Rating	120.00	120.00			
Benzene Level	80.00	80.00			
Pensky-Martens Flash Point	20.00	20.00			
Overtime charges, per hour	30.00	30.00			
Metrology services, per hour	32.00	32.00			
Gasoline - Gravity	10.00	10.00			
Gasoline - Distillation	25.00	25.00			
Gasoline - Sulfur, X-ray	35.00	35.00			
Gasoline - Reid Vapor Pressure (RVP)	25.00	25.00			
Gasoline - Aromatics	50.00	50.00			
Gasoline - Leads	20.00	20.00			
Diesel - Gravity	25.00	25.00			
Diesel - Distillation	25.00	25.00			
Diesel - Sulfur, X-ray	20.00	20.00			
Diesel - Cloud Point	20.00	20.00			
Diesel - Conductivity	25.00	25.00			
Diesel - Cetane	20.00	20.00			
Citations, maximum per violation	500.00	500.00			
Dairy Products Distributor		<u>75.00</u>	75.00	150	\$11,250.00
Utah Horse Commission					
Owner/Trainer, not to exceed	100.00	100.00			
Owner, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Organization, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Trainer, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Assistant Trainer, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Jockey, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Jockey Agent, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Veterinarian, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Racing Official, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Racing Organization Manager or Official, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Authorized Agent, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Farrier, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Assistant to the Racing Manager or Official, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Video Operator, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Photo Finish Operator, not to exceed	75.00	75.00			
Valet, not to exceed	50.00	50.00			
Jockey Room Attendant or Custodian, not to exceed	50.00	50.00			
Colors Attendant, not to exceed	50.00	50.00			
Paddock Attendant, not to exceed	50.00	50.00			
Pony Rider, not to exceed	50.00	50.00			
Groom, not to exceed	50.00	50.00			
Security Guard, not to exceed	50.00	50.00			
Stable Gate Man, not to exceed	50.00	50.00			
Security Investigator, not to exceed	50.00	50.00			
Concessionaire, not to exceed	50.00	50.00			
Application Processing Fee	25.00	25.00			
Brand Inspection					
Farm Custom Slaughter	50.00	50.00			
Estray Animals	varies	varies			
Beef Promotion (cattle only), per head	1.00	1.00			
Citation, per violation	100.00	100.00			
Citation, per head	2.00	2.00			
If not paid within 15 days 2 times citation fee					
If not paid within 30 days 4 times citation fee					
Brand Inspection Fee, Special Sales	100.00	100.00			
Brand Inspection (cattle), per head, maximum	0.50	0.50			
Brand Inspection (horse), per head	0.65	0.65			
Brand Inspection (sheep), per head	0.05	0.05			

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Brand Book	25.00	25.00			
Show and Seasonal Permits					
Horse	10.00	10.00			
Cattle	10.00	10.00			
Lifetime Horse Permit	20.00	20.00			
Duplicate Lifetime Horse Permit	10.00	10.00			
Lifetime Transfer Horse Permit	10.00	10.00			
Brand Recording	<del>50.00</del>	<u>75.00</u>	25.00	685	\$17,125.00
Certified copy of Recording (new Brand Card)	5.00	5.00			
Minimum charge per certificate (Cattle, Sheep, Hogs, and Horses)	5.00	5.00			
Brand Transfer	<del>30.00</del>	<u>50.00</u>	20.00	730	\$14,600.00
Brand Renewal (five-year cycle)	<del>30.00</del>	<u>50.00</u>	20.00	11,300	\$226,000.00
Elk Farming					
Elk Inspection New License	300.00	300.00			
Brand Inspection per elk	5.00	5.00			
Service Charge (per stop per owner)	15.00	15.00			
Horn Inspection per set	1.00	1.00			
Elk License Renewal	300.00	300.00			
Elk License Late Fee	50.00	50.00			
Grain Inspection					
Regular hourly rate	<del>23.00</del>	<u>24.50</u>	1.50	Unknown	
Overtime hourly rate	<del>34.50</del>	<u>36.75</u>	2.25	Unknown	
Official Inspection Services: (Includes sampling except where indicated)					
Railcar, per car or part car	<del>48.75</del>	<u>20.00</u>	1.25	1,700	\$2,125
Truck or trailer, per carrier or part carrier	<del>9.75</del>	<u>10.00</u>	0.25	6,000	\$1,500.00

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Submitted sample, per sample	<del>6.50</del>	<u>7.00</u>	0.50	2,500	\$1,250.00
Reinspection, basis file sample	6.50	6.50			
Protein test, original or file sample retest	<del>4.50</del>	<u>5.00</u>	0.50	9,500	\$4,750.00
Protein test, basis new sample, plus sample hourly fee	<del>4.50</del>	<u>5.00</u>	0.50	5	\$2.50
Factor only determination, per factor, plus sampler's hourly rate, if applicable	3.00	3.00			
Stowage examination services, per certificate	10.00	10.00			
A fee for applicant requested certification of specific factors (malting barley analysis of non-malting class barley, HVAC or DHV percentage determination in durum or hard spring wheats, etc., per request)	<del>2.00</del>	<u>2.50</u>	0.50	125	\$62.50
Extra copies of certificates, per copy	1.00	1.00			
Insect damaged kernel, determination (weevil, bore)	2.50	2.50			
Sampling only, same as original carrier fee, except hopper cars, per car	10.00	<u>11.00</u>	1.00	45	\$45.00
Mailing sample handling charge	2.00+	2.00+			
	Actual Charge	Actual Charge			

Request for services not covered by the  
above fees will be performed at the  
applicable hourly rate stated herein,  
plus mileage and travel time, if applicable.  
Actual travel time will be assessed outside of a  
50 mile radius of Ogden.

	<b>FY 2002 Current</b>	<b>FY 2003 Proposed</b>	<b>Difference</b>	<b>FY 2003 Units</b>	<b>Revenue Change</b>
Non-official Services					
Safflower Grading	10.00	10.00			
Class II weighing, per carrier	4.50	4.50			
Determination of DHV					
percentage in Hard Red Wheat	3.50	3.50			
Determination of hard kernel percentage					
in soft white wheat	3.50	3.50			
Other requests	Hourly Rate	Hourly Rate			
All Agriculture Divisions					
Administrative costs for making copies of files,					
per hour	10.00	10.00			
Administrative costs for making copies of files,					
per copy	0.07	0.07			
Late Fee	25.00	25.00			
Returned check fee	15.00	15.00			
Mileage	State Rate	State Rate			